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(Time noted, 7:12 p.m.)
CHAIRMAN HALL: We have the
application, Preliminary and Final Site Plan approval resulting from a settlement between the Borough of Sea Girt and various different companies.

Mr. Sitar, $I$ cannot believe that this started ten years ago. So hopefully tonight we will come to some sort of progression.

Mr. Kennedy, we have discussed this in advance and you are going to do a pretty formal introduction, not only for the board members to understand what we have that we can and cannot do, but also for members of the public that are attending.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you.
MEMBER WARD: Norm?
CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, sir.
MEMBER WARD: Given that $I$ was noticed within the 200 feet I'm going to recuse myself from this and I'll take myself off video but I'll -- I'll hang around.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay, very good. And thank you for bringing that to our attention, Mr. Ward.

> ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you, Mr.

Ward.
Sure. So good evening, Mr. Chair and board members and ladies and gentlemen of the public. As many of you may know and some not know, my name is Kevin Kennedy and $I$ am fortunate and honored to be the Sea Girt planning board attorney.

So, Mr. Chairman, in my opinion in many ways this application before us tonight is a fairly typical application for site plan approval. However, in my opinion, in many ways this application before us tonight is not a typical application. And with your consent and with the consent of the board I'd like to take a few minutes to discuss the procedural, substantive and legal issues affecting this particular application.

It is my hope -- excuse me, that my comments may prove to be helpful to board members and helpful to the public at large and, hopefully, my comments will shed some light on the -- this application and the procedural history of this application, which is important.

I'd like to start off with a very, very, very general discussion about affordable housing issues and obligations in the state of New Jersey particularly because of the important role
that those regulations play in this very application. I start by saying decades and -- well, I start by saying that the affordable housing world is a very, very complex, intense, detailed, regulated, ever-evolving and heavily litigated field. And there are many professionals, lawyers, planners, engineers who actually specialize and make careers in -- in this ever-growing field.

For obvious purposes my discussion tonight is just a very basic discussion designed only to provide general background information in conjunction with the review of the application for tonight.

Incidentally, $I$ believe we have the borough attorney online tonight. And there may be some other affordable housing representatives, borough's representatives on the line, as well.

Initially, way back, decades and decades ago, the New Jersey Supreme Court issued an opinion in the case, $I$ think it was entitled Burlington County NAACP v. Mount Laurel, which dealt with affordable housing obligations. And incidentally, that is why, because the name of that case was against Mount Laurel, that is why we sometimes interchangeably use the words affordable
housing, COAH, which stands for Council on Affordable Housing and/or Mount Laurel housing. So for purposes tonight all those words essentially mean and incorporate the general concept of affordable housing.

So way back, decades ago, when this decision, in this Mount Laurel decision, the New Jersey Supreme Court decided that all New Jersey municipalities have an affirmative obligation to provide affordable housing. And it's an important concept that we all need to understand. And in my humble opinion some of the important impacts of that initial decision, $I$ don't necessarily think were really necessarily identified at first as there did not necessarily appear to be specific details or procedures or mandates as to how affordable housing obligations would need to be identified and satisfied, et cetera.

However, and importantly in my opinion, many of the affordable housing obligations that we have today all got started with and emanate from that particular Mount Laurel case many decades ago. And as indicated, there was some uncertainty as to how the specific affordable housing obligations would need to be identified and implemented and
fulfilled. And years later the New Jersey Supreme Court issued an opinion, what's essentially referred to as Mount Laurel II, and there have been other cases, other related cases, too. And they more particularly addressed such things as A, the cases affirm the obligation that each municipality has an obligation to provide realistic affordable housing options. And B, they set up a process by which the affordable housing obligations could be identified and monitored and enforced. And essentially the background for the creation of the agency which was then known as COAH, Council on Affordable Housing. So since then, Mr. Chairman, there have been many, many, many political and legal challenges to the affordable housing regulations. And there have been many, many challenges and many cases and some of the cases have been interesting and some of them have been fairly nuanced and some of them gained some curve balls and some twists. But in my opinion, the general principal relative to the affordable housing obligation process has pretty much remained the same and pretty much remained fairly constant -- I mean fairly constant in terms of the conceptual items.

So one of the guiding principals of the
affordable housing world is that each town has an affirmative obligation to provide affordable housing driven primarily by the very obvious acknowledgement that housing in the state is just so incredibly expensive.

The general rule is that each town is required to identify its affordable housing obligations and determine how those affordable obligations and needs will be satisfied or met. Generally speaking, the process involves -professional -- I'm sorry, generally speaking, the process involves some type of affordable housing plan, for lack of a better word, being reviewed and approved and certified by the state or the court system. Depending on a lot of factors, such as the physical size of the town, the amount of undeveloped land within the town, need and many, many other relevant and sometimes complicated factors.

As indicated, each town's plan is -- was required to be or is required to be approved by the state or court system and they generally refer -- to that as the substantive certification process. And moreover, there are consequences for the failure of a town to obtain that substantive certification.

So sometimes towns didn't necessarily get the substantive certification from the state or the court because sometimes the towns believed that, you know, they were too small to have an affordable housing obligation. Sometimes the towns were of the opinion that there's not enough developable land within the town to have an obligation. And quite frankly, the process of obtaining substantive certification was and is, to my knowledge, quite timely and expensive as there are lots of legal fees, lots of lawyers, lots of planners, lots of engineers involved in the various procedures.

Also, Mr. Chair, as referenced pursuant to those regulations there are consequences if a town did not receive its particular substantive certification from the state or court system or back then, CoAH. Specifically, if a town did not have its substantive certification the town is open to and -- under the regulations, the town is open to a builder's remedy lawsuit.

In general, a builder's remedy lawsuit is where the developer files a complaint, a litigation complaint, against a particular town. And in the complaint the plaintiff/developer states that the borough did not receive its substantive
certification relative to its affordable housing obligations. Thus, the developer alleges in the lawsuit that because no substantive certification had been obtained, the developer is allowed to construct essentially any type of residential development it wants to construct, regardless of the town's zoning and density, bulk requirements, height requirements, et cetera, as long as a certain percentage of the residential units would be set aside for affordable housing purposes. So, it's a pretty intense remedy. Again it is an intense remedy but that is the remedy. So against that backdrop fairly recently, $I$ think it was 2020 , representatives of Sitar filed a builder's remedy lawsuit against the Borough of Sea Girt and the Sea Girt planning board. The litigation complaint alleged, among other things, and again generally speaking, it alleged, one, that the Borough of sea Girt did not have a court or a COAH or state certified substantive certification as to its affordable housing needs and obligations. And, two, it alleged that because of that the developer was allowed to build regardless of the town zoning, density, height and bulk regulations. And, three, in accordance with
prevailing regulations the developer would set aside a number of the units as affordable housing units.

As with any litigation case, the borough and the planning board arranged for attorneys to represent and defend their interests and specifically, an answer or response of pleading was filed on behalf of the borough and the planning board. And given the legal realities, given settlement -- settlement discussions ensued and the parties ultimately agreed to a settlement.

Importantly -- and again, Mr. Chairman,
I keep saying, but this is just a very, very general statement -- the settlement provided, among other things, the following: The borough would essentially endorse the general concept of the plaintiff's development proposal as negotiated and modified by the parties;

B, the settlement indicated that the developer would be permitted to build the project, which was negotiated, provided planning board approval was obtained in due course;

C, there would be a set aside -- for affordable housing units in the proposed development;

D, the borough would adopt and amend
and adopt zoning ordinance specifically allowing, or specifically permitting the plaintiff's proposed development as modified per the settlement discussions;

And E, the settlement also indicated that the borough's Affordable Housing Plan would be legitimized in conjunction with other actions which are not necessarily relevant to discussion tonight, but we have a borough planner and board planner that have to do other things, but we'll get into that later on.

And the final part of the -- well, the two final parts of the settlement would be: There would be a judgement of repose in effect, meaning that if all the obligations of the settlement agreement were honored, and including some other things that the borough has to do later on, and the planning board approval was obtained, the borough would be protected from any other builder remedy lawsuit at least until the next round of affordable housing obligations, which $I$ believe the next round starts again in 2025. But you want to, if we do settle and approve something, you want that judgment of repose;

And the final aspect of the settlement
would be that the litigation case would be dismissed and settled.

So Sitar representatives, the borough representatives and planning board representatives officially endorsed the settlement, which for the record, was modified and reduced in size and intensity and scope from the initial complaint and the parties actually signed a settlement agreement.

In furtherance, thereof, the Borough Council adopted a zoning ordinance which amended the allowable and zoning parameters for the site. And I guess, that is to say in plain English, the zoning ordinance was amended so as to specifically permit and allow the development which is generally proposed tonight.

So, Mr. Chair, against that backdrop, this particular Sitar application, which is being reviewed tonight, was submitted to the planning board for the board's review and approval. Subject to further review and testimony, which will be presented tonight, the applicant's representatives maintain that they have submitted an application, which conforms and complies with the new zoning regulations and which conform with the previously executed settlement agreement.

As an aside, there may be many of you on the board tonight who were actually board members ten years ago when the first Sitar application was reviewed and adjudicated. Likewise, there may be some -- many members in the listening public tonight who ten years ago observed, watched, followed, or otherwise participated in the public hearing process way back when. And so, for the record, we just -and I sometimes feel a little funny saying this, but we need to say for the record -- we just need to take notice that the fact that between 2012, when that first Sitar application was heard, and now life has changed. And at least with respect to the zoning, and some of those circumstances have changed.

Years ago, when the first Sitar application was presented, back then the applicant need use variance approval, density variance relief, I think there was maybe height variance relief and some bulk variance relief. And there are some pretty strict and intense legal standards involved when one needs use variance, density variance, height variance and bulk variance relief.

Now, however, today because of the litigation, because of the settlement and because of
the change in zoning ordinances, as I understand it, the application presented tonight is permitted and there is no variance relief required. And we're going to hear some testimony on that later on. And we'll hear Peter Avakian chime in, too, because I think there were some plan revisions which may have eliminated the need for a variance, so we'll get to that.

So thus, Mr. Chairman, in conclusion, that is the general background information which is relevant for tonight's application. Again, I'm not the borough attorney. I'm not the borough's affordable housing attorney. And I would therefore, you know, caution everybody to, you know, have a little bit of -- take what $I$ say with a little bit of grain of salt because $I$ was not intimately involved in all those specific aspects.

But the affordable housing issues are complicated matters. They involve complicated topics. They're ever-changing topics and they're highly litigated topics. And, as indicated, there are still other items, which will need to be addressed outside of this particular application. But, Mr. Chairman, my goal was just to attempt to highlight some of the broad strokes of the
affordable housing process. Particularly, as they affect the history of this application and the legal standards affecting this application tonight.

As is always the situation, in
conjunction with any site plan approval, board members tonight should focus on typical site plan issues as they always do. And in consultation with our board engineer, the specific site plan related issues upon which you will all focus and always do focus, include such things as: Grading, drainage, stormwater management, lighting, signage, parking details, building details, et cetera.

In conclusion, Mr. Chairman, I apologize for the length of time I've taken. I didn't want to take this long, but I just wanted to try to the greatest extent possible to provide a legal backdrop in the procedural history of this application. So I thank you for giving me the time.

And, Mr. Chairman, when you're ready, you tell me and we'll start into the notice and putting things into evidence and all those things.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Just for the record,
Jen Beahm is now attending us, who is Peter Avakian's planner for any type of professional responses that need to be done for that.

And, Ken, do you have any objection to what Mr. Kennedy has laid out as a -- as format of what we're going to be doing tonight? And then, is there anything that you disagree with on what he was presenting?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chairman and board members and all, good evening, Kenneth Pape. I am the attorney for your applicant.

I listened carefully to all that Mr. Kennedy so clearly stated. I have no objections to any of the statements. I found it to be accurate. I would only add two things, and that is part of this process involved Fair Share Housing observing, participating and commenting. And Fair Share Housing also joined in the resolution of this matter. And that the process that went from court to settlement had attached to it a sketch. And this application that we bring before you this evening mirrors that sketch.

And finally, $I$ would say that the last step in that process was the creation of an ordinance, a very detailed ordinance. I was reading the ordinance today over and over, a very detailed ordinance. And it is our intention this evening to present an application that is consistent with that
full ordinance.
And other than those comments, I
appreciate the opportunity to share them. I think Mr. Kennedy stated it eloquently and completely.

CHAIRMAN HALL: One thing, this procedure we're going to do tonight is all of your witnesses are going to be presented, and we're going to swear all them in it at the same time.

And then we're going to hold any comments or questions -- not only from the Board but also from the audience -- to do that at one time to avoid a lot of duplication or overlapping of questions that may be in one of the professionals that you're presenting tonight or another one.

So then, for the sake of efficiency, I think we talked about this earlier and you agreed to that process. Is that correct?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes. Mr. Chair, I think that process is efficient and we have no problem abiding by it.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. So with that, Kevin, anything else? Or is there exhibits that you are going to be --

> ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Sure.

CHAIRMAN HALL: -- attending to?

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Why don't I start with -- I'm going to ask if anyone has any objection to the notice they received from Craig Gianetti's office? Craig Gianetti is the attorney of record for the applicant, and he was not available tonight and Mr. Pape is stepping in, so we appreciate that.

And so, Karen, if anyone has any specific questions or comments regarding the notice they can either raise their raise hand icon or contact you.

Give your e-mail. One more point.
CHAIRMAN HALL: For questions and answers too. Sorry.

MEMBER BRISBEN: It's K Brisben, $B-R-I-S-B-E-N$ at Sea Girt Boro, B-O-R-O, dot com. (Kbrisben@seagirtboro.com) .

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: All right. And while $I$ just officially mark some things into the record, and we'll see if anyone then had any comments.

So, what we'll do is, I would like, Mr. Chairman, with the board's consent, enter into the record what we as a board have before us. So we're going to mark $A-1$ is the application.

And we'll mark as $A-2$ site plan
consisting of 15 sheets prepared by Dynamic
Engineering, and that's dated November 24, 2021, last revised April 8, 2022 .

A-3 we're going to mark as the
architectural plans consisting of four sheets, and that's prepared by Thomas J. Brennan Architects, dated December 12, 2021, last revised April 8th, 2022 .

And A-4 is the boundary and topographic survey, consisting of one sheet, prepared by Dynamic Survey, LLC. That's dated September 3, 2021. A-5 is the Stormwater Management, Groundwater Recharge and Water Quality Analysis, prepared by Dynamic Engineering, dated November, 2021 .

A-6 is the traffic impact and parking assessment, six sheets, prepared by Dynamic Traffic. And that's dated December 3, 2021 .

And then we're going to mark as $A-7$ is
a review letter from Leon S. Avakian, Inc, and that's dated March 11, 2022 .

And we're going to mark as A-8 the supplemental review letter from Leon S. Avakian, Inc., dated April 19, 2022 .

And we'll mark as A-9, there was a
communication from the Monmouth County Planning Board reflecting action taken on January 24, 2022 . And $I$ think there was a request for more information, but we'll hear some information on that momentarily.

A-10 is the fire prevention/fire chief memorandum prepared by Sea Girt Fire Prevention Office, dated March 9, 2022 .

And A-11 is the police department memo from our police department and that was undated.

And, Karen, has anyone asked to be
heard on the issue of notice?
MEMBER BRISBEN: No.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. So I'm going
to state for the record that my office, in
consultation with Chris Willms, reviewed the notice and found everything to be in order. So, Mr.

Chairman, it would be my humble opinion that we have jurisdiction to proceed tonight.

And what $I$ would like to do is we'll swear in Peter Avakian, our board engineer and Jen - -

CHAIRMAN HALL: Ken, could I just -- I'm going to say Ken and Jared because I can't say "Mr. Pape" and have both of you answer.

So, Ken, is there any additional exhibits that you wanted to produce tonight? ATTORNEY PAPE: No, Mr. Chairman. That's acceptable.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: We have -- there are exhibit boards that were forwarded on Monday, 48 hours or more before the hearing. They're colored versions that the applicant is going to be using during the presentation.

Perhaps, as the witnesses introduce them, we could so label them. Would that be an acceptable process?

CHAIRMAN HALL: And we can share screens if any one of those witnesses want to present that --

ATTORNEY PAPE: Very well --

CHAIRMAN HALL: -- if they have it on
their screen, or your screen, or $I$ should say Jared's screen.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Surely. Mr. Hanley and Mr. Ledbetter are ready to screen share.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. So proceed.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: So, Peter Avakian, we're going to swear you in.

CHAIRMAN HALL: I'm sorry to interrupt you.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Are we swearing in Jen Beahm, or no?

BOARD PLANNER: Sure.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. So good evening. And, Peter Avakian, you are the borough engineer and the board engineer. And, Jennifer Beahm, you are the borough planner and board planner. Good evening and welcome to you both.

If you could just raise your right hands. Do you swear that the information and testimony you are about to provide, to the extent you provide any, will be the truth to the best of your knowledge, so help you God.

BOARD ENGINEER: I do.
BOARD PLANNER: I do.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: All right. Let the record reflect that both witnesses have been sworn, Peter as the engineer and Jen Beahm as planner.

And, Mr. Chairman, $I$ promise the last procedural thing we're going to do, is we're going to have Ken Pape announce and identify who the principals are of the various companies here. I know we have Sea Girt or Sitco Sea Girt, LLC. As is
always our case, we like to hear who the principals are so that we can, for members of the public, we can know if there's any type of conflict that we need to announce or disclose or recuse ourselves.

So Ken, good evening to you. And if you could just state the various companies involved and/or the various principals so we can do an internal conflict check.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Surely. Your applicant is Sitco Sea Girt, LLC. And the properties are owned by 501 Washington Boulevard, LLC, 503 Washington Boulevard, LLC, Sea Girt Fifth Avenue, LLC, Sitco Sea Girt, LLC -- I misspoke, the last one is your applicant.

All of these companies are owned by Mr. William Sitar. Mr. Sitar owns them with 73 percent in his name directly and 27 percent in a trust that he has formed where he is the sole trustee and has sole control. The trust is the William Sitar Holdings. So Mr. William Sitar controls 100 percent of all of the companies that $I$ just shared with you.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Perfect. Thank you for that disclosure.

So, Mr. Chairman, is there anyone on
the Board hearing Mr. Sitar's name that has any conflicts or questions about conflicts or concerns about conflicts?

Okay, seeing none. And so that, Mr. Pape, we'll turn it over to you. And I think that the Chair wants us to just get everyone sworn in at once. So you can let me know and we'll swear in your witnesses.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Fine. Thank you. If the three witnesses that we intend to call, three professional witnesses that we intend to call: Douglas Hanley is the professional engineer responsible for the plans and will present them; Justin Taylor, professional engineer, is a traffic expert; and Thomas Brennan is an architect.

Those are the three witnesses we intend to call. Others who are here are here for assistance, technical assistance, and will not be testifying.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. So let me just -- Doug Hanley, good evening. If you could state your name and business address for the record.

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Sure. Douglas
Hanley, $H-A-N-L-E-Y$ Dynamic Engineering, 1904 Main Street, Lake Como, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}$.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: And you're
testifying tonight in your capacity as a
professional engineer?
DOUGLAS HANLEY: That's correct.
Attorney Kennedy: Okay. And Justin
Taylor, your name and business address?
JUSTIN TAYLOR: Sure. Justin Taylor,
T-A-Y-L-O-R. Principal in the firm of Dynamic
Traffic, and the business address is 1904 Main
Street, Lake Como, New Jersey.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: And you're
testifying tonight in your capacity as a licensed traffic engineer?

JUSTIN TAYLOR: That is correct.
ATtORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. And Thomas
Brennan, your name and business address.
THOMAS BRENNAN: Yeah. My name is
Thomas Brennan, and I'm president of Thomas Brennan Architects. The address is 1333 West McDermott Drive, Suite 200 in Allen, Texas 75013. And -ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay -THOMAS BRENNAN: -- I'm a licensed architect in the State of New Jersey.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you. If all of you three gentlemen could raise your right hands.

Do you swear that the information and testimony you are about to provide will be the truth to the best of your knowledge, so help you God?

THOMAS BRENNAN: I do.
DOUGLAS HANLEY: I do.

JUSTIN TAYLOR: I do.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. So let the record reflect that Doug Hanley, Justin Taylor and Thomas Brennan have all been sworn.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Kennedy?

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Yes?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Do we have to qualify --

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Yes --

CHAIRMAN HALL: -- these witnesses as
well?

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: We will do that right now. Good point.

Doug, just for the record, why don't you just state the licenses and certifications that you have and just confirm that your licenses and certifications are current and up to date.

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Sure. I'm a licensed professional engineer in the state of New Jersey. My license is current. I have a bachelors of science in civil engineering from the New Jersey

Institute of Technology.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: And have you
testified before other boards before?
DOUGLAS HANLEY: Yes, I have.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: And your
credentials have been accepted?
DOUGLAS HANLEY: Yes, they have. ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. Mr.

Chairman, any comments from you or board members as to the qualifications?

CHAIRMAN HALL: I accept those.
ATtORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. Justin
Taylor, can you do the same thing, confirm your license and certifications?

JUSTIN TAYLOR: Absolutely. I'm a licensed engineer in the State of New Jersey as well as Pennsylvania, Delaware and Texas. I'm also a certified professional traffic operations engineer through the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
Practicing traffic planning for a
little over 20 years now and have testified at hundreds of boards throughout the State of New Jersey, although I haven't had the privilege to be here before Sea Girt.

Attorney Kennedy: And licenses and
certifications are current?
JUSTIN TAYLOR: Yes, they are.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. Mr.
Chairman, will the board accept his qualifications?
CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, they would.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. And last but
not least, Tom Brennan, same thing, if you could state your licenses and certifications.

THOMAS BRENNAN: Sure. I have a master's degree from New York Institute of Technology. And $I$ have been a licensed architect for over 35 years in the State of New Jersey. My license is in good standing. I also hold licenses in New York, Connecticut and Pennsylvania, as well as Texas.

And I've been in front of many boards throughout the State of New Jersey. However, I've never had the pleasure of being here in Sea Girt. So this is a first for me.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. And your qualifications have been accepted in those other jurisdictions in New Jersey?

THOMAS BRENNAN: Yes.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. Mr.
Chairman, any questions or concerns about the
qualifications?
CHAIRMAN HALL: I know Mr. Brennan is a well-qualified person in his field.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. So now, against that backdrop we turn it over to Ken Pape. Thank you, Ken.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I thank you. For purposes of clarity, Craig Gianetti was lead council in the litigation. Craig Gianetti and $I$ have been co-counsel on this matter representing Mr. Sitar for a number of years. I have the privilege of making the presentation this evening. Mr. Gianetti, was not available, so I'm very comfortable making the presentation to the board.

The court reporter is here this evening. I wanted to point that out, Mr. Chair, and to ask, if it is acceptable to the board, we will provide the transcript to the board, board secretary, and to your attorney to assist in the preparation of a resolution. And if you wish for that transcript to be the official transcript of the hearing, we're prepared to bear that burden. We will have the transcript delivered to the board on an expedited basis after the conclusion of the hearing.

CHAIRMAN HALL: It's not only accepted but appreciated.

ATTORNEY PAPE: You're welcome.
Our intention this evening is to be thorough but to be efficient in our presentation. The three witnesses have gone -- have spent considerable time working together and reviewing materials that were generated by your professionals. Significantly, your professionals have been available to our professionals for comment. We've had Zoom conferences.

And we have had an opportunity to be responsive to their comments. And I believe, you will hear from Mr. Avakian, that a second set of plans was filed not too long ago that resulted in a new report. That report was the one that was entered as the April 19th report, A-8.

The first witness is Douglas Hanley. Douglas Hanley has -- he has been sworn and his credentials placed before the board as a professional engineer. And I have asked that he introduce the exhibits that he has prepared this evening, that -- we'll introduce them one by one, and he has permission to start labeling them $A-12$, sequentially.

And then I've asked that he present to you existing conditions and the executive summary of the proposed development. And then together he and I will go through the site plan elements that you had -- that Mr. Kennedy had outlined just a few moments ago.

Mr. Taylor is the traffic expert. I'm going to ask Mr. Taylor to comment on parking. I'm going to ask that he comment on the adequacy and safety of the driveway design and I'm going to ask that he comment on the intersection of the driveway with the roadway.

And Thomas Brennan is, as, Mr. Chair, you have acknowledged, is a very well-known and well-respected architect. [Zoom distortion] for the design of the boards and its featured stories, one has a retail and office element on the first floor and the other does not.

That is the summary of the testimony of
the experts. I appreciate that we'll be allowed to go through the witnesses and the three witnesses then remain at the end for your examination. With permission, Mr. Chair, I would ask if we could begin the substantive presentation with Mr. Hanley.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Please do. And let us
know if you need to share screen.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you. Mr. Hanley
will need to share screen. He has three exhibits
that we will introduce to you, all of which were properly filed with the borough a full 48 hours in advance as required for Zoom hearings.

CHAIRMAN HALL: I'm assuming that's
him. Okay, yeah, your screen is shared.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Okay.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: And you can see it.
D O U G L A S H A N L E Y, P.E.,
having been previously sworn, testifies as follows:
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}E & X & A & M & I & N & A & T & I & N\end{array}$

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. I'm going to ask that you -- as you introduce these you read the title block and its date, and then we'll award it, with Mr. Kennedy's permission, we'll award it a number.
A. Absolutely. Good evening, board. I'll get started with the exhibits.

The first one is the Aerial Map Exhibit prepared by Dynamic Engineering, and it is dated April 18, 2022 .

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Kennedy -ATTORNEY KENNEDY: That would be A-12. ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
(Exhibit A-12, Aerial Map Exhibit, prepared by Dynamic Engineering, dated 4/18/22, is marked.)

THE WITNESS: The next exhibit is the site plan rendering, also prepared by Dynamic Engineering and also dated April 18, 2022 .

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: That will be A-13.

Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
(Exhibit A-13, Site Plan Rendering,
prepared by Dynamic Engineering, dated 4/18/22, is marked.)

THE WITNESS: And then the last exhibit for me will be a plan entitled "Aerial Overlay," prepared by Dynamic Engineering, and also dated April 18, 2022 .

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you. A-14.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
(Exhibit A-14, Aerial Overlay, prepared by Dynamic Engineering, dated 4/18/22, is marked.)

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Hanley, I'm going to ask that you introduce the exhibits with your testimony as you see fit. Please begin with a description of existing conditions.
A. Absolutely. So, I'm going to start with the aerial map exhibit. I believe that was A-12 that was just introduced. This is essentially the aerial map that was submitted as part of our site plan set with some colors added to the lines for -- for ease of viewing for the board and the public.

You could see the subject property in the center of the sheet and $I$ will zoom in a little. They are outlined in yellow, we are here tonight to discuss Block 77, Lot 16 and 17. That will be referred to often as the south lot. And 76 -- Block 76, Lots 1 and 2 will be referred to as the north lot. For purposes of this exhibit, we'll consider north to the right of the screen, so the north lot is on the right and the south lot would be on the left. The properties are on the corners of Washington Boulevard which is County Route 49 and Fifth Avenue. They're separated by Washington Boulevard. That bisects them. The south lot is approximately 16,000 square feet in size. The north
lot approximately 16,500 square feet. Just to put that into scale each lot is just under four-tenths of an acre.

Both lots are currently developed. On the south lot you have the Surfside ice cream shop with outdoor seating. And the north lot you have The Girt, a retail use, Sitar Realty, an office use, and a residential dwelling. You currently have one existing driveway on Fifth Avenue for the northern lot.

The subject properties are within the Affordable Housing Zoning District. And to look at the surrounding zones very quickly, to the west or to the top of the sheet you have the 2 E Zone and then essentially to all other sides you have the 1 E Zone, so that's the north, east and south. And to the east of each property is Fifth Avenue.

So that -- that concludes my review of the existing conditions. And I would like to move to the aerial overlay. I believe that was A-14. And as Mr. Pape had mentioned, I will give you a quick executive summary of the development that we are proposing tonight.

We are seeking preliminary and final major site plan approval for proposing a mixed-use
development on the subject properties. The south lot we're looking to develop a three-story residential apartment building with ten dwelling units. And on the north lot is a three-story mixed-use building with nine dwelling units and 2,276 square feet of office and retail on the first floor which is currently Sitar Realty's office.

Apartments and mixed-use developments are permitted within the A1 -- AH1 Zone and we are compliant with all the requirements of the AH1 Zone.
Q. I think that's an excellent executive summary. I'm going to add just one sentence and ask you to confirm that of the 19 apartments three, by the court order settlement and ordinance, are affordable housing apartments?
A. That is correct.
Q. If you could, take us to the rendered site plan and then $I^{\prime} m$ going to ask if you could help take us through the statutory elements of the site plan. Knowing that the board's professionals have spent considerable time on these plans I'm going to ask that you, in executive summary style, you address the following topics:

First is the grading of the property. What is necessary in the way of grading for you to
achieve this design?
A. Sure. So, both lots are generally flat in nature. In existing and proposed conditions it generally slopes towards Fifth Avenue but there are no proposed retaining walls or anything to achieve the proposed grading.
Q. I think hand-in-hand with grading is drainage. Begin by pointing out that in the reports generated by the professionals, and in particular the March 11 th report of Peter Avakian, there are statements that you are fully compliant with all requirements, but if you could just give us an executive summary of how the stormwater system is designed.
A. Absolutely. So the stormwater management design is compliant with the borough and state stormwater regulations. It will be privately owned by the property owner. And we worked with the board engineer's office to put the design together.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And, Mr. Chair, I trust that later in the evening when Mr. Avakian speaks, he can speak for himself as to his written conclusions that are in his report with regard to the stormwater design.

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Next, I'm going to ask you if you would, Mr. Hanley, lighting, if you could describe the type of lighting and the care that went into the lighting design and if you could confirm knowledge of the requirements of Mr. Avakian and how they're addressed?
A. Absolutely. So, again, the lighting design is compliant with the borough's ordinance. All proposed lighting fixtures will be LED fixtures. They are downward focused with house-side shields on the pole-mounted lights. There's a total of eight light fixtures throughout the parking area. There are two pole-mounted and two wall-mounted area lights on each lot. There is zero-foot-candle spillover to the neighboring properties and the lights will be on from dusk to dawn.
Q. You're familiar with the comments of Mr. Avakian and I'm going to ask you if you can confirm that you can and will comply with those comments?
A. Yes.
Q. Landscaping is the next element.

Landscaping is the next element.
A. Absolutely. So taking a look at the landscaping, and you can see this very well on the

## D. Hanley, P.E.

site plan rendering. Essentially it's the site plan sheet with all of the landscaping colorized so you can see it throughout the development, but we are proposing a total of 501 plantings on the two lots. That's a combination of 15 shade and ornamental trees.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Sorry, what was
that number?

THE WITNESS: 501 total plantings.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Absolutely. So that's
15 shade and ornamental trees, 265 evergreen and deciduous shrubs, 58 ground covers, 105 perennials, 58 ornamental grasses. And an irrigation system will be provided.

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. I thank you on that. The next is -knowing that Mr. Taylor is here to assist I'm going to ask that you give us an overview of the parking and on-site circulation knowing that more detail will come from Justin.
A. Absolutely. So again, parking is compliant with the borough's ordinance. The ordinance requires 1.7 parking spaces per dwelling unit in the AH1 Zone. The south lot requires 17
parking spaces, and 17 are proposed. The north lot requires 16 parking spaces and again 17 are proposed. The parking stall size is compliant with the Residential Site Improvement Standards at 9 feet by 18 feet in length and width.

We are proposing two EV charging stations on each lot for compliance with the current state statute.

Each parking lot proposes one full-movement driveway. Both driveways are safe and fully compliant with the ordinance.

And lastly access to each parking lot will be gate-controlled for residents only.
Q. I think that as part of that circulation, if you could confirm trash storage -- trash and recyclable storage locations, and if you could speak to the adequacy of the location for the Sea Girt typical trash collection program.
A. Yes, absolutely. We are proposing trash enclosures on each lot. On the southern lot, it's in the let's call it the southwest corner of the property to the rear of the parking. And then on the north lot, we'll call it the western side, I'm circling it with my mouse. They're kind of
shaded as a light tan or gray color.
For the development we anticipate sea Girt's typical trash collection procedures will be accommodated. And we are comfortable with the size of the facilities based on the collection that sea Girt offers.
Q. If you could go to the signage, not the building signage but the site signage. I know that there were some revisions to achieve compliance with the ordinance. If you could identify the signage and confirm the size, location and compliance?
A. Absolutely. So, again, the monument signs we are proposing are compliant with the ordinance. I'll zoom in a little on the exhibit. You can see them near the corner of each property in a dark blue color. But each sign is approximately 3 and a half high by 4 feet wide for a total of 28 square feet when you account for both sides of the sign, which is compliant with the ordinance requirement of no greater than 30 square feet for each lot.
Q. Before we conclude your testimony and I ask that you confirm compliance with Mr. Avakian's requirements, if you could take us through the outside agency approvals, the outline. And if you

## D. Hanley, P.E.

could share with the board and the board's professionals where you are in working with those agencies?
A. So we have a pending application with the Monmouth County Planning Board. We are still working with the county to address their comments. But at this time it is expected that the county will request easements to address their requirements.

We do not anticipate any significant impacts to the design as presented tonight as a result of the county application.
Q. Okay - -
A. We also have --
Q. So that application is filed. And when you say that you do not anticipate, if you could just confirm, you didn't make that up; that's based on your communications with the professionals at the County Planning Board --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- if you could make that part of the record?

Okay. And if you could then take us to the additional agencies?
A. Sure. So the Freehold Soil

Conservation District we have a pending application.

## D. Hanley, P.E.

We have not received technical comments but again, I do not anticipate any changes to the site plan as presented tonight.

As far as utility permits go, applications will follow pending the board's decision.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And as this board and your professionals are aware, we recognize that the relief -- any relief granted by this board remains subject to securing those outside agency approvals in a manner that does not require any revision to these plans or we're back here with those revisions.

Mr. Chair and board members, that
concludes Mr. Hanley's direct presentation. I am going to ask that he confirm familiarity with the March 11 th and April $19 t h$ review letters issued by Mr. Avakian.

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. And to confirm that to the extent that there are any technical or note requirements that remain outstanding, that you can and you will address them to the satisfaction of the borough?
A. Yes, I am familiar with the letters and I agree with that statement.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, I have
nothing further of Mr. Hanley on direct. And I look to you for permission to move forward.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Please.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
Mr. Hanley, remain with us please, examination to follow.

Mr. Justin Taylor is a traffic expert.
His credentials were placed on the record a few moments ago. I'm going to ask that he -- and he has been sworn. So I'm going to ask that Mr. Taylor be prepared to go directly into the substantive presentation.
previously sworn, testifies as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
\mathrm{E} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{~A} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~A} & \mathrm{~T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{~N}
\end{array}
$$

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Taylor, while Mr. Hanley is controlling the screen, is there any particular exhibit, $A-12,13$ or 14 , that you would prefer be before the board during your testimony?
A. I think what we do is leave this up, leave A-13 up on the screen. This is really what I need to talk to.
Q. Very good. Then as I indicated in the opening statement, if you could speak to the adequacy of the parking, the adequacy of on-site circulation and the adequacy and safety of the entry driveway designs?
A. Sure. I would love to.

As part of this project it was my responsibility to work hand-in-hand with both Mr. Hanley and the architect to ensure that the design not only met the criteria of the ordinance of Sea Girt but also that it meets state and national standards for safety from a traffic perspective.

So we had the ability to really work with Doug in locating the driveways and the layout of the proposed parking area and parking supply. So to start with that we looked to locate the driveways for the proposed project. And we have designed them to provide adequate sight distances for vehicles both entering and exiting the site from Fifth Avenue to ensure that there will be safe and efficient movements for the proposed parking lots.

We also worked with Mr. Hanley on the actual geometric layout of them. It is proposed to provide 24 -foot aisle widths, which meets not only national design standards but also the Residential

Site Improvement Standards set forth by the Department of Community Affairs of New Jersey.

The parking spaces are also designed in accordance with the Residential Site Improvement Standards at a dimension of 9 feet by 18 feet to ensure that the vehicles and the residents can adequately maneuver to and from these parking spaces.

The final thing we worked hand-in-hand with was the actual parking being provided for the site. Now, Mr. Hanley has done a great job summarizing it. Your ordinance requirement does set forth a requirement of 1.7 parking spaces per unit and we are in full compliance with that with the proposed 17 parking spaces on both the northern and southern lots in this project.

So in conclusion we really had a good chance to influence the layout as the project developed in order to ensure that there will be sufficient parking and that there will be safe and efficient movements to and from the site and the adjacent roadways.
Q. Mr. Taylor, thank you for the efficiency of delivering that information to the board.
J. Taylor, P.E.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, I have nothing further of Mr. Taylor. I again, ask for permission to proceed.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Absolutely.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you. Board
members, the third and final professional witness is Thomas Brennan, our architect, who is responsible for the plans.

Doug, if you could release the controls so that Mr. Ben Ledbetter has the control. And then I'm going to ask Mr. Ledbetter to introduce Mr. Brennan's exhibits.

And, Mr. Brennan, if you can take us through them. And $I$ think we're starting with $A-15$ for labeling, before you go into your substantive testimony.

CHAIRMAN HALL: May I interrupt for just a moment, please, for the record. Can you see that Carla Abrahamson is now in attendance.

MEMBER BRISBEN: Yes. I noted that she came on at 7:48.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Thank you.
MEMBER ABRAHAMSON: Yeah, I was here for most of it. My video wasn't working. Sorry. CHAIRMAN HALL: No, I'm sorry. I just
noticed. I wanted to make sure for the record.
MEMBER BRISBEN: She has been noted as coming on.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: All right. Ken, you're at $A-15$, $I$ think.

ATTORNEY PAPE: A-15.
Mr. Ledbetter, if you could
introduce...
(Exhibit $A-15$, Rendering of North
building, dated $12 / 20 / 21$ is marked.)
$\mathrm{T} H \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{M} A \mathrm{~S} \quad \mathrm{~B} R \mathrm{E} N \mathrm{~N} A \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{AIA}$, previously sworn, testifies as follows:
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\mathrm{E} & \mathrm{X} & \text { A } & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{N} & A & T & I & O & \mathrm{~N}\end{array}$

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. And, Mr. Brennan, if you could read the title block, tell us what it is. We'll label it as A-15. And then move forward.
A. Yes, this is -- the title block is
dated the 12 th of '21 - $12 / 20 / 21$. It is a rendering of the north side of the building.

We have two renderings that we prepared. One is the north side, which is the one
that you are currently looking at now. That is Exhibit Number 15. That is R1 in our rendering format.

And primarily $I$ will -- let me explain through it. The brick part that you see there right now is Mr. Sitar's current commercial building that exists. Everything that was there to Fifth Avenue from there on to Fifth Avenue has -- would be vacated and removed.

So this is showing how we were creating an interesting facade along Washington street incorporating the existing building. We were able to build on top of the commercial space. And then we also have an entrance there into the residential part of the building which I'll -- in further exhibits I'll go into the floor plan.

But the side -- basically the elevation character is very similar to what you would, you know, see in sea Girt here. Something that has got a lot of movement to it, a lot of design. It has a combination of clapboard siding. It has Cedar impression, Cedar shakes. There's shutters. There's detail up at the roofline, the soffit line with brackets. Some metal roof, you know, designs and details. So we feel that we are creating an
enhancement to this corner that is currently there. Now the next exhibit -- oh, go ahead.
Q. So this would be A-16. If you could tell us what this is before testifying from it.
(Exhibit $A-16$, Rendering of South
Building, dated 12/20/21, is marked.)
THE WITNESS: Sure. A-16 is the south building. And this is the one that is also facing Washington Avenue.

Again, it has the same materials. We want the project to read as a cohesive project, so the same colors, the same materials, the same detailing will be on the south building as would be on the north building.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Just for record, A-16 prepared by your office, is that dated 12/20/21?

THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes. ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you. BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Brennan, further exhibits?
A. Okay, so this is the south building which is dated $4 / 8$ of '22. And the south building was the one that is on the south side of Washington Avenue, obviously. It's just a description of the
floor plans basically that there are four units - -
CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Brennan, could you possibly zoom that up a little bit and scroll as you go into detail? Thank you.

THE WITNESS: There we go.
So on the first floor you have two entryways, one coming in on the right from Washington Avenue and one coming in from the left which is coming in from the parking lot.

There is an elevator that is in the middle and that will serve all the units in this particular building. And then there's a stairway that also adds vertical transportation should somebody not want, you know, to use the elevator.

The units are, you know, these are the market-rate. The south building is all market-rate and these units are in the 1,100 range, 1,100 square feet. They basically are all two-bedrooms. They have, you know, when you come in they're light and airy. They have a kitchen, some have island kitchens, living, dining room. There's a balcony, large oversized windows bringing natural light in. The bedrooms each have their own bathroom. There's a shared bath for Bedroom Number 2. But there also is a storage unit that is in the hallway that is
attached to each particular unit -- would be assigned, I shouldn't say "attached," they're assigned to it. So that would be storage for the tenant as well.

The second and third floors are basically a repeat of this. And primarily there's nothing too much more to say about it except that, you know, the third floor only has the two units and that is a much larger unit so.

But that's the south building. The north building --
Q. That was $A-17$, correct?
A. $\quad A-17$.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Yes.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
(Exhibit A-17, South Building Floor
Plans, dated $4 / 8 / 22$ is marked.)
THE WITNESS: A-17, is also -- if we
slide it over a little bit we can read the date -is also 4/8/22.

And this is where, on the left side of the building here, is the commercial space that is existing, that particular --

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Brennan, I believe this is the A-18
now.

> A. A-18? Okay.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: That is A-18.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Floor plan for the northern building.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you.
(Exhibit A-18, North Building Floor Plan, dated 4/8/22, is marked.)

THE WITNESS: And the office space that is currently there will be utilized as commercial office space. The front of the building here faces out toward Washington Avenue, the commercial part. And then this is the entry that we're creating for the residential portion. So from Washington Avenue, you come into a foyer, you have a lobby area there, you have an elevator that takes you up to the larger -- up to the floors above.

There's two units on this floor which again consists of a large kitchen, living, dining space, the balcony and two bedrooms and two baths and closet space and storage.

And then there's, from the rear of the building, you come in from the rear and that is where the parking lot is, and you would come in and go to the elevator and go on up. And that's that
particular floor.
And then on the floor above there is, you know, two more market-rate units. I should say there's three more market-rate units. The two B's are the market-rate units. The two B3 is a market-rate unit. And anything with an A after it is the affordable unit.

So on this particular floor there is a two-bedroom affordable, which is at that location. And then primarily if we go to the next floor we will be able to see two more affordable units; a one-bedroom affordable in the front and a two-bedroom affordable in the back.

And again, the affordable units are all-inclusive into the design. That's what we wanted to incorporate so.
Q. I'm going to ask you if you could just go to the northern building, first floor, I did not want to correct you but $I$ do want to make certain that the language of the ordinance and the settlement are made part of the record.

The two areas that are shown as office. In the settlement documents and in the ordinance, those can be office or retail. Office or retail is the language, so those, either or both are
permitted.
ATTORNEY PAPE: And $I$ would ask -- and I'll actually place on the record, that the affordable units we were asked to locate them in Mr. Brennan's testimony and he has.

The affordable units will be fully compliant with UHACT, the Uniform Housing Act, as to the moderate income, low-income and very-low-income designations. The record should reflect that. That is also part of the settlement and the ordinance and is part of Fair Share's requirements.

BY ATTORNEY PAPE:
Q. Mr. Brennan, I have no further examination of you. Do you have anything further to share with the board on either the floor plan design or the building design?
A. No. We worked very hard with the owner, the other professionals, and $I$ think we have a very creative solution here. So, we were very pleased with this whole experience.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair and board members, that concludes the direct presentation of the applicant.

At this point we would look to you to either examine, through the board's professionals or
the board members to examine the professionals, or as you direct.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you very much.
And I also applaud you for keeping your presentation under an hour, for the record. In fact, you had two more minutes to talk if you wanted to.

I actually -- at this point, we would like to ask any of the board members -- I want to be specific, it should be in the form of a question, not a comment. And $I$ actually would -- i have a couple of questions. I would like to take the privilege of possibly asking them first.

The one thing is I would like to -- the person that was doing the traffic, I'm particularly talking about the foliage that is now in the area that kind of butts out a little bit onto Washington Boulevard. And I'm particularly concerned with driving northbound on Fifth Avenue -- the type of foliage that is going to be there, so it doesn't deter people coming out of that stop sign and traffic that is moving east along washington Boulevard.

So that's, you know, are you going to be considerate that that kind of foliage is going to be higher elevation so it's not going be in the form
of a bush but probably in the form of a tree? I'm hoping.

JUSTIN TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, that is a great question and you are correct. Those that are shown on $A-13$ with the larger canopies are intended to be trees. And they will be limbed so as that the branches will not impact the sight triangles and sight lines for either Fifth Avenue or for our proposed driveways.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Brennan, I would like to ask you a question. Actually, Ken, the testimony by Mr. Brennan was not obligated by any point of this presentation but it was only as a courtesy for the people of town to see what the architectural renderings were.

And the only thing that we're really addressing tonight, if $I^{\prime} m$ correct -- and please correct me if $I^{\prime} m$ wrong -- is really to address the site plan.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, that's
correct. There are two parts of this testimony that were required; we did have an obligation to identify the mixed-use element and we did have an obligation to identify where the affordable units would be in the building. But the rest was for the benefit of
this board and general community and it was intended as a courtesy.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. And the last question $I$ have is on the irrigation system that you've presented that all this nice landscaping would be -- would be irrigated, are we going to be using town water or are we going to be using a well-driven system?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I think you stumped us. Mr. Sitar has not been sworn but it appears he's prepared to answer if we swear him in. May we?

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: State your name, please.

WILLIAM SITAR: William Joseph Sitar.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: And address please?
WILLIAM SITAR: 1 Philadelphia
Boulevard, Sea Girt, New Jersey.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: If you would just raise your right hand. Do you swear that the information and testimony you are about to provide will be the truth, to the best of your knowledge, so help you God?

WILLIAM SITAR: I do.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Sitar, before you
testify, for the record, Mr. Sitar is the owner of the companies that are before you both as owners and applicants and the gentleman that $I$ made reference to earlier. I know that all of you know that, but now the record reflects it.

And, Mr. Sitar, the question that is posed is, is your irrigation water going to be from a municipal source or from a private well?

WILLIAM SITAR: Mr. Hall, what would you prefer?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Well, I think, you know, we are having some extra -- not burden, but pressure on our water system itself, particularly in the summer months, and $I$ just wanted to see what your plans are. It probably would be in your best interest if you had a driven well.

WILLIAM SITAR: Then that's what we will do.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. That's my last question. I beg your forgiveness for kind of going first, but $I$ had some pretty specific questions.

Anybody else on the board have any question that they can actually even address to the people, the witnesses, but also $I$ would say any of
our professionals that are here on our behalf, which would be Mr. Willms, Jen Beahm or Peter Avakian. So if there are any questions, please just speak up.

MEMBER BRISBEN: I would just like to
hear from one of the presenters about the report from the police department and fire department, fire prevention.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, I regret to report we have not received those reports prior to the hearing. If they could be shared with us we can respond to them.

MEMBER BRISBEN: I was under the impression $I$ sent them to either Amanda Kronemeyer or Mr. Gianetti. However, maybe Mr. Willms can go over the fire prevention report.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Sure.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Chris, are you there?
I don't see him. There he is.
CHRIS WILLMS: Yes, I am here.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Chris, why don't we
swear you in, if that makes sense?
CHRIS WILLMS: If it's okay with you.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: State your name and business address.

CHRIS WILLMS: Sure. Chris Willms,
business address, 321 Baltimore Boulevard, Sea Girt, New Jersey.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: All right. And if you would just raise your right hand. Do you swear that the information or testimony you are about to provide, to the extent you provide any, will be the truth, to the best of your knowledge, so help you God?

CHRIS WILLMS: Yes, sir.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Just for the record, because $I$ was here earlier tonight so $I$ know you're acting board secretary when the board secretary is away. But just for the record, you're testifying tonight, what are the positions you hold with the Borough of Sea Girt?

CHRIS WILLMS: I'll just go down the list. I'll start with fire prevention officer/fire marshal. Also, the code enforcement officer and zoning officer for the borough.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you. And, I'm sorry, I cut you off. You can answer. Karen had a question for you.

ATTORNEY PAPE: The applicant and the applicant's professionals would benefit from a summary of your written comments, Mr. Willms.

CHRIS WILLMS: Sure. In reference to the application, there were -- I believe they were very minimal. One of them was in reference to the fire department connection for fire department access. The request was to have the fire department connections on the east side of each structure for fire department use.

The second one was requesting the Knox Boxes be installed on the Washington Boulevard side of each residential entrance with the keys to be required as per municipal ordinance.

Another request was access or providing the fire department access for -- or access codes for the vehicle gates for the rear parking areas.

Let's see, we also had a request, if it was able, I'm looking to have a Class 1 fire department standpipe for the second floor of each structure.

And then the last one was referencing training for the fire department, you know, that when the structures are being demolished, the fire department would like to use the existing structures for training evolutions before they're completely demolished.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Willms, I can
speak to two of those and then I'm going to ask Mr. Brennan to help on others.

As far as the Knox Box, no issues at
all. We also contemplated that there would be a Knox Box on the gate to the parking lot.

Would that procedure be acceptable to you?

WILLIAM SITAR: Sure.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Knox Box on the
buildings, as well as the gates?
WILLIAM SITAR: Perfect.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Okay. Then I would
turn to Mr. Brennan as to the FDC locations and also the standpipe on the second floor. Can that be accommodated with the design of your building?

THOMAS BRENNAN: Yes. The building would be fully compliant with NFPA 13 R for the residential portion and the NFPA 13 for the commercial portion. So they would be fully compliant based on the building code for -- for that.

They would also have the -- you mentioned a standpipe. Typically standpipes are only required -- from my knowledge, only required for four stories, but if that was a code issue then
that is what, obviously, we would have to provide SO.

CHRIS WILLMS: Yeah, it's not a code
issue. It was more a fire department operational request.

THOMAS BRENNAN: Okay. But it's in
the stairways you're saying, the stairway area?
CHRIS WILLMS: Correct. Yes, sir.
THOMAS BRENNAN: I'm sure we can
accommodate that.
ATTORNEY PAPE: I think the last is for Mr. Sitar.

Mr. Sitar, there was a -- Mr. Willms is asking if the razing, demolition of the structures, can be incorporated into the fire department - the fire official's training of their firefighters?

WILLIAM SITAR: Gladly.
CHRIS WILLMS: Thank you, sir.
WILLIAM SITAR: Yes.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Willms, have we
addressed your comments?
CHRIS WILLMS: Yes, sir.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Do we have any other
questions now or is there anyone that has a
question?
MEMBER BRISBEN: I just wanted to
know, Chris -- Chris, is it possible, being that they have not addressed the police department report, can you -- are you able to go over that as well, Chris?

CHRIS WILLMS: Yes.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Thank you.
CHRIS WILLMS: The police department report?

MEMBER BRISBEN: Yes.
CHRIS WILLMS: There was one comment from Chief Macko. And it is in conjunction with, you know, with my request, is that upon approval the police department will be requesting and notifying the mayor and council that they're going to be looking for a no parking on the street area along the south property -- sorry, the south building, the western side of Fifth Avenue from Washington Boulevard south along the property line of Mr. Sitar's property there.

So about, you know, just from that area immediately adjacent to the structure we're going to be requesting a no parking zone right there, similar to what is already in place on the north property.

ATTORNEY PAPE: If $I$ may, on behalf of your applicant, that appears to be in direction or request of the fire official to the governing body to so designate road --

CHRIS WILLMS: Yes, it was more of a statement that we were making that.

ATTORNEY PAPE: No objection is our response. No objection.

CHRIS WILLMS: Thank you, sir.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Any other members of the planning board have a question of any of the testimony that has been brought in front of us?

MAYOR FETZER: Mr. Chairman, I do.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Fetzer?

MAYOR FETZER: I have a question about the lighting. At the height of the poles and are you expecting any spillover into some of the adjacent properties?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Hanley, if you could return to your testimony on that.

And two very specific questions of the Mayor; would you address the height of those fixtures? And would you address the manner that you control spillage, and also a statement as to whether
there is any spillage?
DOUGLAS HANLEY: Absolutely. So as
mentioned earlier there are two pole-mounted and two wall-mounted fixtures on each parking area. The pole-mounted lights will be mounted at 10 feet with house-side shields on the rear to protect spillover and glare.

And the wall-mounted lights will be
12 feet high from the ground level, also
downward-facing, approximately 60 or more feet from the property line.

So, no, there's no spillover anticipated from the lighting design.

MAYOR FETZER: Thank you, Mr. Hanley.
I don't know who to address this to but could somebody go through the stormwater management and how it -- how it's proposed to function as far as infiltration?

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Yeah, absolutely. I can address that one as well.

Both sites, they're designed to function with porous pavement systems to address the stormwater quality measures. And that addresses your water quantity, your water quality, your groundwater recharge and your green infrastructure
requirements of the borough ordinance, as well as the state requirements.

One of the sites is designed to infiltrate the ground into -- or the water into the ground, based on the -- the soils testing and the groundwater levels that are out there today. And the other is designed to -- with an under drain. And both systems are directing the water ultimately to the stormwater conveyance system within Washington Boulevard.

ATTORNEY PAPE: If I may also indicate, in the March 11 th report of Mr. Avakian, beginning on Page 6 and continuing for pages, there's a fairly thorough review and analysis of the work product of Mr. Hanley.

MAYOR FETZER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Peter, would you confirm that that has met all of your thoughts on -on whether the groundwater and runoff --

BOARD ENGINEER: I do appreciate Mayor
Fetzer's comments. I was going to ask a similar question on testimony for stormwater management.

There are water quality standards that are required, suspended solids removal, both by our ordinance and by state standard, and the removal
rate of a minimum of 80 percent is satisfied for both parking lot areas.

There is a runoff quantity standard required, again, by both our ordinance and the state standards. And the design storm events that we analyze for are the two-year, the ten-year and the 100-year storm, and we can substantiate for both the northerly lots and the southerly lots the proposed flow is reduced adequately below the existing flow.

So both sides of the -- it's hard to envision this but when you have a land that's a percentage vacant, what the standards require now is that you reduce the post-construction runoff to rates significantly lower than the pre-construction runoff rates. And the applicant has done that on both of those properties. And finally, our newer standards require green infrastructure compliance and the utilization of the pervious paving system qualifies as a green infrastructure improvement under the state standards.

$$
\text { And also, } I \text { don't know if you recall, }
$$

but maybe about a year ago we readopted our stormwater management regulations in the borough to comply with the new state standards and that is
complied with for the green infrastructure improvement.

The one other item I'll add, Mr. Chairman, is that we have requested that as a condition of approval a maintenance manual for annual maintenance of stormwater management facilities be provided to the borough.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Hanley, would you respond on the record to your willingness to prepare that O\&M to Mr. Avakian?

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Yes. Thank you for the summary, Mr. Avakian. And no problem on the operations and maintenance manual, that will be submitted.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Mayor, are you
satisfied?
MAYOR FETZER: Yes, the responses, I appreciate it. But $I$ do have a few more questions if $I$ may, Mr. Chairman.

The stockpile as shown on your site plan, are you envisioning that being as high as you are saying? And, if so, it might have to be wider? I'm not sure, $I$ know what your detail said it could be as high as 39 feet.

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Absolutely. Excellent question. The 30 feet is the maximum that is allowed by the Freehold Soil Conservation District as well as the other conservation districts throughout the state. We don't anticipate that it will be stacked 30 feet high, but should it be, it would exceed the requirements of the SED and we would be in violation.

MAYOR FETZER: Thank you. The other one is, do you have a demo and a construction schedule or are both going to be done at the same time, going to be constructed at the same time? Is there a phasing plan? I don't know what is proposed.

WILLIAM SITAR: No. They will be built one at a time. The north building would be built first.

MAYOR FETZER: Thank you. Thank you very much for the responses. I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Anyone else on the board have a question?

MEMBER CASEY: I have a question, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Sure.
MEMBER CASEY: Back to the stormwater
issue, there's a paragraph on Page 10 of this book that was supplied and it says, "The proposed development is exempt from the groundwater recharge requirements set forth by NGAC 7.8 due to the fact that the project is located within an urban development area."

How does that impact what you designed here?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Who will answer?
DOUGLAS HANLEY: Sure. So I can
answer that for you. Excellent questions again.
So within the stormwater regulations, certain properties in New Jersey are exempted. The one that this falls under is two-pronged. One, that it's an urban redevelopment, meaning that it's previously developed and it has to fall within the Metropolitan state Planning Area, which these properties do qualify for since they are previously developed and they're in that planning area.

So what that statement means is that we are exempt from providing the calculations to prove we are recharging the amount of stormwater that is required. However, since one of the lots will provide infiltration we will be contributing -- contributing to the groundwater
recharge of the area even though -- and just to button that all up -- even though technically we are not required to do so.

MEMBER CASEY: Okay, great. Thank you. Could you just confirm the heights of each building, please?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Brennan, if you could?

THOMAS BRENNAN: There we go. Okay. Yes, the -- the south building has a building height of 37 feet to the peak. That is from grade to the peak. And the north building has a height of 42 feet from grade to the peak of the roof.

ATTORNEY PAPE: And for the record, the ordinance permits the building height to exceed those heights. I'm looking for it. I believe it's 45 feet was the actual number that was in the final version of the ordinance.

MEMBER CASEY: Yeah, I should mention that, Peter, I really appreciate that grid that you put together on variances and waivers for the affordable housing component because absent having that $I$ would have been spending a whole lot of time looking up all of these measurements. So thank you very much for providing that.

Could somebody describe the fencing that is proposed, heights of the fencing and where they're going to be located on the property in relationship to the property boundaries?

ATtORNEY PAPE: Mr. Hanley, are you confirm with the fencing and particularly around the trash receptacles, please.

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Yes, absolutely. And I think it would probably be best if I share my screen again. I think that will give everybody the visual to answer this question.

So starting on the north lots here, there is currently an existing vinyl fence for a portion of this, the property line on the lower side along Lot 17, and then at some point along the property the fence ends. And I think there's a stockade fence and a chain-link fence along the side of the property. We will be proposing a 6-foot vinyl fence to connect the two areas between the existing vinyl fence along the property line to shield the parking, in addition to the landscaping.

There will also be --
MEMBER CASEY: The existing fencing is owned by this property?

DOUGLAS HANLEY: I believe the fence
is owned by the Lot 17 property owner.
MEMBER CASEY: And Lot 17 is the Sitar property?

DOUGLAS HANLEY: On this side, this
is -- Lot 17 is not owned by Sitar on the north side of the intersection.

And then both trash enclosures will be enclosed by a board-on-board fence to shield the trash receptacles from the development.

And then moving to the south --
MEMBER CASEY: The height?
ATtORNEY PAPE: If you could, Mr.
Hanley, the height?
DOUGLAS HANLEY: Six feet on that
fence as well.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
DOUGLAS HANLEY: And then lastly, I do not believe we currently show fencing on the southern lots. We are proposing landscaping buffering along the rear and side, but if it were requested by the board $I$ think the applicant would be open to a similar 6-foot vinyl fence along those property lines.

MEMBER CASEY: Okay. And the question
I have about the 6-foot fence, for some reason I
thought -- and I don't know about the affordable housing zoning -- but $I$ thought if there was a 6-foot fence, it would have to be 5 feet off the property line. So $I$ guess I would have to defer to Peter for that.

BOARD ENGINEER: I would have to review the overlay zone regulations unless Jen is familiar with that section of it, if it actually does identify fencing height and setback. I don't think it did.

BOARD PLANNER: I don't think it did either.

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Yeah, within Section
$H$ of the redevelopment ordinance it says,
"Notwithstanding the setback requirements for other accessory uses, fencing is permitted along the rear yard or western side property line. Such fencing may be up to 6 feet in height and of solid construction. Fencing may be provided in lieu of landscaping."

So I believe that section allows -- I believe that supersedes the setback within the other section of the ordinance.

MEMBER CASEY: And that's in the affordable housing component that you guys --

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Correct, Section $H$. MEMBER CASEY: Okay. Got it. Thank you. That's the extent of my questions.

By the way, Peter, the 6 foot around the -- is fine also?

BOARD ENGINEER: Yes, it is.
MEMBER CASEY: Okay. Thank you.
Appreciate it.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Anybody? Diane, go ahead.

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. Mr. Hanley, can you just please clarify what you just mentioned with regard to the setback and the fence along the property border, presuming that it was in accordance with -- can you just reiterate what you just had mentioned with regard to the setback and the fence and landscaping?

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Absolutely.
COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: I'm
concerned -- I'm concerned about the setback there as well because that would certainly affect the parking.

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Yes. So the redevelopment ordinance allows fencing to be placed along the rear or western yard property line. And
it's allowed to be up to 6 feet in height and of solid construction. And can be -- and there's another statement that fencing can be provided in lieu of landscaping, where we're providing a landscaping -- overall of landscaping shrubs.

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: So my question is, it can be right on the property line; there's no need for a setback?

DOUGLAS HANLEY: My interpretation of this section is that it can be along the property line, yes.

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: Can I confer that with our engineer and Jen Beahm, please? BOARD PLANNER: Yes.

BOARD ENGINEER: I absolutely will, yeah. I absolutely will. It's included in the accessory structure section of the area and yard requirements. And what was testified to by Mr. Hanley is exactly correct, up to 6 feet in height of solid construction along the rear yard or westerly side yard property line, and may be provided in lieu of landscaping.

What the applicant is testifying to, is
that in addition to the landscaping they're providing, they would, if the board so required,
provide a solid fence in that area as well.
COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: Thank you.

Would there be any consideration of, being that it is a parking lot and also garbage receptacle -large garbage receptacle there, any consideration of a noise-reducing fencing in that area? For the betterment -- for the betterment of the residences that are bordering those properties and that parking lot and those large recycling and garbage recyclables.

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Yep. Great question.
I don't believe a sound-attenuating fence was analyzed for this property. Both the parking and the trash receptacles are within the appropriate setbacks based on the affordable housing zoning regulations. So $I$ think with the -- the landscaping and the trash enclosure, I don't anticipate a noise nuisance based on this parking lot.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Hanley, if I'm not mistaken is this not solid board-on-board?

DOUGLAS HANLEY: It's a board-on-board
fence. I will leave that up to the --
CHAIRMAN HALL: That in itself
would -- that in itself would add a buffer to the noise, the fact that it's not an open spiral or
spindles where noise would be able to escape.
Would that be correct, that it is better as far as noise reduction, if it is a solid-type fence versus an open-spindle fence?

BOARD PLANNER: That's correct, Mr.
Chair. And to that point $I$ would then suggest that the applicant provide fencing along the rear property line on the southern side as well for the same reason, to attenuate any noise associated with the garbage and any parking on that property.

CHAIRMAN HALL: I know the answer --

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: Thank you very
much for that consideration.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Ms. Beahm, and I know the answer, but let's have Mr. Sitar answer the question.

Mr. Sitar, do we have your permission to add that additional fencing?

WILLIAM SITAR: You do.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you.
Mr. Willms, could you add any
information you might have about any borough
ordinances as far as it goes with fencing?
CHRIS WILLMS: Sure. Sorry, let me put my video on to prove that I'm here.

Chapter 17, Section 5.11e. Allows a 6-foot solid fence -- solid-construction fence along property lines where you have commercial adjoining a residential property.

So we do permit it within our current ordinances for residential to commercial, a 6-foot solid fence right on the property line.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Diane, does that kind of clarify your --

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: Yes, it does. Thank you. Thank you very much. Thank you, Chris.

MEMBER CASEY: Chris, doesn't it say
that it allows a resident to do that to the commercial, side, not the commercial side to the residential side?

CHRIS WILLMS: So the owner of a residential use. So this is -- this is a residential use on one building and it's mixed-use on the other so.

But we do permit it. So even if Mr. Sitar decides not to put it up, if one of the adjacent neighbors wants to put up a 6-foot fence they are well permitted to do so.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Any other questions from members of the board?

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: I still have a few questions if you don't mind, Chairman.

I have a question regarding on the -the flooding in Sea Girt, and the fact that all of the studies have been done on the existing property as it stands right now. And my question, I guess, would be to the -- our borough engineer or possibly even Chris Willms.

Have there been any complaints of flooding in a five -- four or five-block radius of the properties that we're discussing today? Any complaints of flooding in those regions at all?

CHRIS WILLMS: I have not -- I'm sorry, Peter, I will go first. I have not received any concerns of flooding in this immediate area.

BOARD ENGINEER: Well, Councilwoman Anthony, I can address a little history on not necessarily the property, but the area.

Washington Boulevard previously had a crown to the road. And the crown created a swale where if you were to drive into a parking space on either the south or north side of the road, you would be going back up hill. So the roadway -- the travel way was crowned a swale along the back edge of the parking spaces, and then on both sides the
roadway graded back up toward the curb line. I can't tell you how long ago it was, but l believe Bill McGinnis was the Mayor, so you can image how long ago it was. We received funding grants and reconstructed the roadway. When we did that, we crowned the road from curb-to-curb, which is the way it's configured today. So that the drainage from the road goes across to cross, slopes up the roadway to the curb line. That allowed us to collect the water and discharge it into a drainage system.

So subsequent to that improvement on Washington Boulevard, which was improved by the County of Monmouth, $I$ don't believe there have been any -- I'll call them flooding issues.

Occasionally we have drainage issues all over town. Occasionally we have more rainfall than some of our drainage systems can accommodate. But as far as flooding, I don't believe this area floods as it did previous to that improvement.

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: Okay. Thank
you. And my last question on --
BOARD ENGINEER: You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Diane, I just want to
interject, because you did four -- say four or five blocks away, and over here we do have the
predominant flooding at the railroad tracks and 71, which would be within that five-block area. As you talked about that, that is -- that is not anything that we created or any improvement on the property I believe would create it.

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: Ok. Thank you for the clarification.

And my last concern obviously is the parking. And $I$ see here that the 1.7 ratio is complied.

Now is that based on an area that is not a summer resort area, where there would not be additional driveway parking or, you know, to that effect?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Who'd address that question, please?

JUSTIN TAYLOR: So $I$ can briefly speak to this. I wasn't directly involved with the writing of the ordinance for this section. I would note that the ordinance requirement is 1.7 specifically for the affordable housing area that these properties fall within.

But it is in general conformance with what we would see throughout the state, both in seasonal areas and in -- and in on another areas.

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: Ok. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HALL: Any other questions? Because I want to try and let the -- we're running a little late here, but the applicant has complied to the one hour and $I$ do want to allow the audience to have questions, if there's not any more questions from the board.

So if anybody from the -- would like to -- I see Alan --

BOARD ENGINEER: Mr. Chair, if you -if you don't mind, could $I$ just briefly review the supplemental memo so everybody understands the variance compliance with the applications before you go to resident questions or audience questions?

CHAIRMAN HALL: That is absolutely
fine.
BOARD ENGINEER: Thanks. I'll do it quickly.

The applicant has testified adequately to the site development plans. I'd ask for just two clarifications before we leave tonight. One would be on utilities, existing and proposed utility services to the property. And the second would be on mechanical equipment.

But I briefly wanted to touch on the
supplemental memo. We prepared this so there would be clarification to primarily the board members but also the public, on variances which were required with the initial submission and revised by the applicant so they no -- are no longer required. And there are five in total. I'll go through the report in the order that we addressed them.

On the northerly lots the parking space size required by ordinance is $10-b y-20$ feet. It really doesn't require a variance, but we were indicating it as such because the proposed parking space size is 9-by-18 feet. That parking space size complies with the Residential Site Improvement Standards promulgated by the DCA and the state of New Jersey, and the parking space size does not require a variance for residential development in the State of New Jersey -- in any municipality in the State of New Jersey. The DCA did it so that there would be uniformity in the regulations governing residential site development.

The second variance was required with the initial submission, and that was the setback from Fifth Avenue to the parking lot. It was closer than 5 feet. And the applicant has revised the plans to make it 5 feet from Fifth Avenue to the
parking lot which now conforms and a variance is not required.

And as was clearly testified to by Mr. Hanley, the signage that is proposed is a monument sign which is double-sided and the total proposed sign area is 28 square feet, 14 square feet on each side which conforms with our ordinance regulation. So a variance is not required for the proposed signage. Those three issues are on the north side.

On the south side it's the same issue regarding the parking space size where Residential Site Improvement Standards apply.

And for the signage, the same exact square foot areas of the monument sign on the south side are proposed, a variance is no longer required.

And in accordance with our supplemental memorandum, dated April 19th, entered as exhibit, I believe, $A-8$, no variances are required by this application.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, may we have just a moment to have Mr. Hanley speak to the utilities and Mr. Brennan speak to the mechanicals?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Absolutely. Let's try to make it a little bit quick, though, because I really want to get some people to be able to make
questions.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Hanley, if
you would just confirm, you're bringing all the utilities to the site and they are all underground?

DOUGLAS HANLEY: Correct. Bringing all utilities to the site, they will all be underground. We reached out to the water and sewer department and were directed to hold our application until after the board's decision.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
And Mr. Brennan, if you could identify
how you're handling the mechanicals for the building?

THOMA BRENNAN: Yes. Can you -- hold on. There we go. Okay.

Yes, the mechanicals, the HVAC units are located on the roof. And so, therefore, there's a flat roof section which has a parapet of about three -- one building -- the south building has 3 feet, the north building has 4 feet parapet. So the flat roof section would accommodate the AC condensing units that would be for all the residential units -- apartments.

However in the rear of the -- the north building where the commercial space would be, we
allocated two ground-floor units that would be on the -- back by the trash compactor or -- not compactor, excuse me, by the trash area, that would be for those two commercial areas so.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Avakian, is that the information that you wanted on the record?

BOARD ENGINEER: Yes, it is. I really appreciate that, that testimony. Thank you.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you for the opportunity.

CHAIRMAN HALL: All right. Mr. Zakin, could you please identify yourself? And this has to be a question, this can't be a comment. We have to swear you in.

ALAN ZAKIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I do have a question. Alan Zakin, 316 Baltimore Boulevard. I just want to thank the board for their volunteering and thank Mr. Sitar for his interest in investing in our community.

I just had a quick question. I
understand it's not a condition but, Mr. Sitar, do you plan on -- on keeping a real estate office here?

And have you talked to your other
tenants to see if they're interested in staying in the downtown area as well?

WILLIAM SITAR: Yes, we intend to keep our real estate office in that -- at that location.

And yes, one of the tenants, $I$ forgot the name of the tenant, but it's located on the northern corner that has a little retail store there, would certainly like to stay here in sea Girt. However, we have no more room for that tenant.

The ice cream store would love to stay in sea Girt and we have no room for that tenant. The ice cream tenant will probably be able to stay for certainly this summer and next summer, because $I$ don't anticipate the first building being completed until sometime next summer. Not the coming summer, the summer of 2023, I believe.

ALAN ZAKIN: Is it The Girt; is that the other one you're referring to on the northern site?

WILLIAM SITAR: Yes, it is.
ALAN ZAKIN: Ok. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HALL: Anybody else have a question? Or, Alan, are you all done?

ALAN ZAKIN: All set. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Ok. You can put your hand down now.

Anybody else?
I don't see hands up. Does anybody see any other hands up?

Pat Raffetto, please. I'm going to allow you to talk. You're on. You should be on now. You have to unmute yourself. You're unmuted, I think.

PAT RAFFETTO: I think I'm good. Do I need -- you need my address?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Please. You know, well it's question period. Yeah, we all know where you live.

PAT RAFFETTO: 417 Trenton Boulevard. My concern is the parking on Fifth Avenue. When you exit Fifth Avenue to Washington Boulevard and you look east and west, it's very difficult particularly in the summer to get out of that corner safely.

With the increased parking that's been going on in town with allowing one-car garage with these big houses, I am noticing more and more cars parking on Washington Boulevard. Even in the morning, when $I$ was working up at the boardwalk it was treacherous because then people were on bicycles also.
And I'd also like to just point out, I
don't think a lot of people are --
CHAIRMAN HALL: Ms. Raffetto, we
really need to keep this to questioning. If it's going to be comments we are going to have to swear you in and ask you to please wait until we have a comment period.

PAT RAFFETTO: Oh, this is a question?
CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, ma'am.
PAT RAFFETTO: Oh, I'm sorry. I
didn't know --
CHAIRMAN HALL: You will have a chance to be able to make a comment in due time, ma'am.

Thank you. We appreciate your understanding.
PAT RAFFETTO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Anybody else have a hand up with a question, please?

Sean Fresco, your question please? And please unmute yourself. There we go.

SEAN FRESCO: Yeah. Sean Fresco, 416
Crescent Parkway. My question is with regard to the parking lot, the project seems to be referred to as low income at 1.7 spaces per complex. If it were not a low income, so only a percentage of it is low income, does that parking lot rule change?

And how do the EV stations and the
handicap spot equate in the total?
CHAIRMAN HALL: Who would answer that please?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I think that $I$ can answer a portion, but just for clarification. Of the 19 residential units, there are three that would be qualified as low or moderate income. The balance of 16 are unrestricted market units.

But, Mr. Taylor, could you address the question as to whether 1.7 units would be applicable whether it was market rate or income restricted or a combination; is there any distinction?

JUSTIN TAYLOR: There is no distinction between market rate and/or affordable when it comes to the parking rate. The 1.7 is applicable to both types of units.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
SEAN FRESCO: Thank you. And how does the EV stations and handicap spots fall into that equation?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Let me do the statute then do you the other portion.

The EV spots by statute count as two parking spaces. On July 9th, last summer, when our legislature passed the statute to require EV
stations, to encourage people they were calling each one two. We did not take any credit for those. They are just part of the parking program.

And, Mr. Taylor, can take it further. JUSTIN TAYLOR: That's exactly what I was going to say, Ken, the fact that we did not take any credit for the -- the two for one that the EV does allow.

We do provide on the, let's see, on the southern side we provide 12 standard spaces, one handicap space, and four make-ready spaces in compliance with both the statute and -- and the ordinance requirements, as well as ADA regulations. And on the north side we have similar numbers. There are 13 standard parking spaces, one handicap space, and three make-ready electrical vehicle spaces for the total 17.

BOARD PLANNER: I think the short answer is that those spaces are included in the 1.7.

JUSTIN TAYLOR: That is correct.
BOARD PLANNER: So just to cut through this, the 1.7 is -- is based upon the number of residential units. The EV and the ADA spaces are part of the 1.7 .

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.

JUSTIN TAYLOR: Yes, that's correct.
SEAN FRESCO: But they would fall into
a specific tenant?
BOARD PLANNER: No. They're part of
the overall parking count.
SEAN FRESCO: Ok. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Any other questions
from the audience? I've got 587654. Ok.
At this point, Ken --
MAYOR FETZER: Excuse me, Mr.
Chairman, $I$ have a follow-up question to Mr.
Fresco's question. If I might, I guess to Mr.
Taylor, if that --
CHAIRMAN HALL: Absolutely.
MAYOR FETZER: Thank you. If the 1.7 spaces, when they were -- if they were converted to charging stations, are those spots restricted to only electric vehicles?

JUSTIN TAYLOR: The answer is no.
There is no intention to restrict them to just electrical vehicles.

MAYOR FETZER: Okay. Thank you very much.

BOARD MEMBER: Mr. Chairman, I had one brief question and we asked it the last time when we
were talking about this application a year or two ago, I just want to get the answer on record if you don't mind.

How will the trash areas be emptied? Is it going to be trucks backing up into the parking area to be emptied? And if so, what time of day or night do you intend for those to be serviced?

ATTORNEY PAPE: We anticipate that the program that exists in sea Girt where collection of the trash from the property would take place by a small vehicle would be the method, but if that's not available then we would control the time of day.

There will be management for the apartment community. We would control the time of day that the hauler came onto the site.

CHAIRMAN HALL: That would be the same restrictions -- would it not be the same restrictions as if, for example, Rod's next door or Joe Leone's or anybody else who has commercial pickup, they would be the same restrictions, correct?

ATTORNEY PAPE: We would expect that. CHAIRMAN HALL: At this point I would like to kind of change a little bit what the process is.

Ken, we can give you a choice of doing summary now or do you want to hear comments from the audience?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Hall, thank you for that courtesy. I promise that my summary is going be very brief, but $I$ would like to do it at the conclusion of the hearing.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Ok. So at this point I would like to offer the audience to make comments about the application for this site improvement here.

And try to keep them -- not repetition of some of the other comments or questions that created comments, for the sake of time and also for efficiency. We want to give courtesy to as many people as possible, but just kind of keep in mind other people may want to speak, or maybe nobody wants to speak.

But at this time I think, Pat, out of courtesy to you, you wanted to make a comment. And so I will allow you, if you still want to make a comment about the application. Pat Raffetto.

PAT RAFFETTO: Yes. How do I unmute?
CHAIRMAN HALL: You're done. You're good, keep going.

PAT RAFFETTO: I just wanted to mention
that on Friday --
AtTORNEY KENNEDY: Pat, hi. This is Kevin. I'm just going to swear you in for the record.

Pat Raffetto, do you swear the information and testimony you are about to provide, to the extent you provide any, will be the truth to the best of your knowledge, so help you God?

PAT RAFFETTO: Yes, I do.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you.
PAT RAFFETTO: I don't think most of the planning board is aware, but I'm aware because I live near the camp, that on Friday evenings every week there's a massive amount of cars coming out of the camp. They come up to Fifth Avenue. They come up to Fourth Avenue. They come up to Third Avenue to make a left onto Washington Boulevard to exit the town. And I think there should be some consideration of that in -- in terms of the traffic study.

And that's all $I$ have to say.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Thank you. And I
think that's something that the police department could address. And Councilwoman Anthony, or Don, if
you could, you know, keep that -- keep that comment. Because it really is not typically because of this application, it's because of another thing that might add to the problem of parking or of accidents. Anybody else would like to have a comment from the audience? Please raise your hand. Pat, you still have your hand up. Do you still want to make another comment? Or Sean? PAT RAFFETTO: No. That was a mistake, I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Sean?

SEAN FRESCO: Yes. With regard to the overflow --

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: I'm sorry, Sean, this is the board attorney. Do you swear the information and testimony you are about to provide, to the extent you providing any, will be the truth to the best of your knowledge, so help you God?

SEAN FRESCO: Yes. I do.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you.
SEAN FRESCO: With regard to the
overflow parking, tenants that have two cars, guest parking, where are they expected to park?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Who will answer that question? Or make -- well that's actually a
question, but who would like to answer that?
ATTORNEY PAPE: The only answer that we can provide to you is that the standard for parking was part of the negotiated settlement and part of the ordinance. And your applicant has met the parking requirement that was imposed during those negotiations.

The parking that is offered was specifically the parking that was requested and it's the parking that we were directed to design to. And parking is fully compliant.

SEAN FRESCO: I understand. I just think with the businesses downtown and now this -this complex, there's going to be an overwhelming amount of -- of parking on the residents.

CHAIRMAN HALL: That's something I think that we addressed a little bit earlier about having no parking on one side of the street or the other which would be a council matter and police issue, not a planning board issue.

SEAN FRESCO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Anybody else?

Do you want to have your summary now,
then we will have the board members do their comments?

ATtORNEY PAPE: Surely, Mr. Chair. Is the public portion now concluded?

CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, sir.
ATtORNEY PAPE: Okay. Mr. Chair, board members, your applicant, Mr. Sitar, has brought forth an application that is fully compliant with the settlement, fully compliant with the ordinance. It took some work. His professionals did that work working with your professionals to eliminate any relief, albeit small relief. And with the commitments that were made this evening, to add to the plan with the additional fencing, we would respectfully ask that the board be mindful that we are together working on this, we're both bound by the settlement, we're both bound by the ordinance, and we would ask that the board consider this application favorably this evening.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Karen, top left, do you have any comments on what we're -Mr. Kennedy, maybe you may want to summarize what we are actually going to be deciding tonight to be specific, so that the planning board members are aware that we're really just looking at the site plan and that's basically all we have
any -- not any, well we have to -- we have to either address it or have our concerns when we're addressing it.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: All right. I mean,
Mr. Chairman, based upon the testimony and information presented and based upon Peter's analysis, it appears that the applicant is submitting a site plan application which conforms, and it's a variance-free conforming application.

Peter, my understanding is correct?
BOARD ENGINEER: Yes.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Yeah. So it's a site plan. There are a number of potential conditions of approval I've written down throughout the hearing process, if the board sees fit. But you are voting on a compliant site plan application.

CHAIRMAN HALL: This would also mean Ken would have his ability to say if he -- if he's approving those conditions, if you want to really call them that.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Agreed. Correct.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Karen, with that could you kind of make any comments if you wish?

ATTORNEY PAPE: I had -- that's
"Karen"? I thought you said Ken. Nothing.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Yeah, Karen. Karen,
Ms. -- Ms. Brisben, most commonly know as the mom of the planning board.

MEMBER BRISBEN: Yes, sir. Is it my
turn to make a comment?
CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes, ma'am.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Okay. I think this plan is -- I was on the board back 10 years ago, secretary, and that one I believe -- I believe correctly, Mr. Sitar, that was for two brick buildings -- and this is a much nicer, the clapboard and all that, it's a much nicer construction. And I think it will fit in much better with everything. And I like the landscaping as it hides some of the parking. And I -- I think you've done a very fine job.

And being we really have to approve it anyway, $I ' m$ glad that you re-did it because this is a much better design. And $I$ would be voting for approval.

WILLIAM SITAR: Thank you very much. And I made sure that $I$ satisfied Norman Hall by -by putting balconies on the building as well.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Robert Walker?
MEMBER WALKER: Yeah. No, I think
it's a very nice plan. And with the new Rod's next door $I$ think it's going to be a nice part of -- of Sea Girt. So I have no problem with the -- with the submission.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Tom Britt?

MEMBER BRITT: Yeah, I think it was very well presented, very well laid out. No further comments.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Jake?
MEMBER CASEY: I'm supportive of the application. And $I$ agree, it was well presented. And I appreciate Mr. Sitar's willingness to work with the town for the last few little things that were asked today. So, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Eileen?
MEMBER LASZLO: It's been a long road.
I appreciate the experts' testimony and the design changes. And $I$ also appreciate the compromise on some downtown commercial space. And $I$ will vote in favor.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Diane?

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: Thank you.
Yes, the concerns that $I$ had, had been addressed.
And I, too, appreciate the rendering and know that it is just a rendering, but $I$ know that Mr. Sitar
will certainly accommodate the architectural design as presented. And I, too, approve this application. CHAIRMAN HALL: Stan?

MEMBER KOREYVA: Great presentation tonight from all the professionals, especially I'm a big fan of Mr. Brennan's architecture. I think it's going to lay out wonderfully compared to what we have seen today.

I'm in full favor of the application.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Carla? There we go.
MEMBER ABRAHAMSON: Yeah, I mimic everything everybody else said. And I do feel that it fits in with the look of sea Girt and much better than what is there presently.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Last but not least, except $I$ have to talk too. Don, you probably have some comments on it?

MAYOR FETZER: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. I just want to commend everybody. I think this has been a long road. I think it was said just earlier and I appreciate the cooperation from both sides.

I think we have worked out something that is going to be a big benefit to the borough. I appreciate the work that -- cooperation of Mr. Sitar
and $I$ certainly applaud all the professionals who've worked hard to make something come to fruition, and I appreciate all of their testimony. And I'm looking forward to having the construction take place and be completed.

So, Mr. Sitar, thank you.
WILLIAM SITAR: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: I have no more comment on it besides -- because I just $I$ have a definite harmony with everything else that we said tonight. And actually, what could have been a four-hour night really turned into a nice efficient presentation to all.

I want to -- I have done some thinking about the source of water for irrigation system, Mr. Sitar, and $I$ would suggest you probably talk to somebody that is smarter than me on what would be more efficient use, borough water or maybe just address the public works or somebody that knows, you know, more than me, which is most, about whether you're going to go well driven or whether do public water. That's the only comment $I$ would ask.

I believe we have got all the
board -- I'm totally in favor. I think it has come a long way. I really like the new rendering of the
building. Tom -- Tom, you did a very nice job. And I think it's -- it's going to be -- it's going to be nice.

So, Mr. Kennedy, could you summarize what the thing that we're going to be voting on --

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Sure.
CHAIRMAN HALL: -- the type of
conditions. And actually, Ken, I want you to approve any conditions that Kevin is going to be presenting.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: All right. So I
have taken the liberty of writing down a couple of notes along the way.

So I would assume that, if the application is approved, we would have our normal standard conditions of compliance with all the promises, commitments and representations the applicant's team made tonight.

Compliance with the review memorandum from Leon S. Avakian, Inc.

We typically say execution of a
Developer's Agreement if the town requires it. And that Developer's Agreement would be reviewed and approved by the Borough Council and borough attorney
and the borough engineer.
Peter, I don't think that -- there's no need -- we don't need anything about Title 39 jurisdiction or anything like that, right?

BOARD ENGINEER: I don't believe so.
This is a gated access with a card access kind of residence.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Yes. Then I'll say
unless requested by -- by the borough.
One of the things that Ken Pape referenced was outside approvals, and if any of these situations, plan details change as a result of those plan approvals, Ken indicated that they'd have to come back;

Compliance with the affordable housing rules and regulations;

Compliance with the settlement
agreement;
Obviously, in conjunction with that, ultimately the dismissal of the litigation case;

We have compliance with the review memorandum from the fire official, dated March 9th, 2022 ;

And the compliance with the police review memorandum.

I remember we have -- just, Ken, for
the record $I$ just $--\quad$ think the affordable housing units are going to be rental units, correct?

ATTORNEY PAPE: They are rental units.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Ok. And then we
have any sight triangle easements that are necessary and those easements need to be reviewed and approved by the board attorney and board engineer.

And then we had a couple of things and -- and just, Ken, just so $I$ know for the resolution, the nonmarket -- so the affordable units are rental. What about the other, the market-rate; are those owner-occupied or are they going to be rental?

WILLIAM SITAR: Those are all
privately rented.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Sitar, if you could place that answer on the record?

> WILLIAM SITAR: All rentals. All rental.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Thank you. And then we have no light spillover.

We had -- typically we didn't say this per se but, Mr. Chairman, we typically -particularly since you all commented on the nice
landscaping and the fact that it hides some of the parking, we typically, Ken, have a condition that says that that landscaping has to be perpetually maintained and replaced and replanted as necessary so that the benefits of that landscaping are always there.

Compliance with the electrical vehicle station requirements.

And I think, Ken, you indicated or someone indicated it is going to be a management company?

ATTORNEY PAPE: The property will be professionally managed, yes.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: And I think, Peter, was there a comment about garbage collection would occur in accordance with prevailing borough policy, or is that anything $I$ have to worry about?

BOARD ENGINEER: I think -- well we may have asked for testimony on that in our report so I think that would be appropriate to state that.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Ok. And we have underground utilities;

Compliance with any tree preservation ordinances in effect.

Obtaining any necessary demolition
permits, compliance with any applicable ADA requirements.

And, let's see.
Ken, you indicated that it's going to be office or retail, just to clarify that, per the settlement agreement?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: The affordable units will comply with the prevailing UHACT requirements.

And I think we said on that $A-13$
exhibit, there's going to be a higher elevation for the trees but -- there will be trees but they will not interfere with the sight triangle easement.

Norm, we talked about that irrigation system. And we'll just say that the details of that the applicant will review and get back to us with -on that information.

CHAIRMAN HALL: I wouldn't even make that a condition, only just an understanding of what's best.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Ok. Thank you.
And then $I$ just referenced all those fire department items: With the connection and the fire access on the east side;
We also have the Knox Box on the

Washington Boulevard;
We also had to provide the fire department with access codes for the gate;

The Class 1 pipes -- the standing pipes on the second floor. And $I$ think that was per the fire department memorandum that was going to be in the stairwell area.

We also had the very nice gesture, the condition of working with the fire department for fire training purposes in conjunction with the demolition;

Knox Box on the building and gates as well;

And with that FDC $I$ think the architect testified there's going to be NFPA $13 R$ and 13 compliance.

And comply with the police department report, which was most likely upon approval. And through the police department request to the city -to the borough for that no parking zone. And the applicant has no objections to that.

No light spillover.
Peter, do we have anything that we have to do any type of lot consolidation?

BOARD ENGINEER: That will occur, yes.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Ok.
BOARD ENGINEER: Perhaps you can
indicate that wording, "as necessary," to create one lot for each of the proposed developments.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Ok. And then we have standard performance guarantees that are required.

We have the -- Peter indicated and I
don't even know if anything is necessary for this -but if not, or if necessary, the green infrastructure compliance per ordinance;

And statutory requirements providing the operations and maintenance manual for the stormwater management facilities.

I think we sort of talked about that stockpile height. You know, Mayor, it's not going to exceed Freehold Soil Conservation District guidelines.

And now, Peter, I'm just going to need your help on this. There's going to be a 6 foot vinyl fence placed along the rear property line of the south lot. And is it the rear property line along the north lot or where is that?

BOARD ENGINEER: They proposed fencing on the north side now. And the testimony was solid
fence along the westerly and rear property line of
the southerly building.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Along western
and --
BOARD ENGINEER: Western and rear
property lines of the southerly building.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Of southerly
building. And I think you said --
BOARD ENGINEER: 6-foot-high solid
fence.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Excuse me?
BOARD ENGINEER: 6-foot-high solid
fence.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: 6 foot high --
BOARD ENGINEER: You can say in
accordance with the ordinance requirements.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. And I think
we said --
CHAIRMAN HALL: We also addressed the
north property for that fencing too.
BOARD ENGINEER: Yes.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Okay. So we're
going to say, "and north property."
And I think we also said that the --
Peter, do $I$ have to do anything with
regard to water/sewer capacity hookups; anything like that?

BOARD ENGINEER: We indicate in our letter requirements for compliance --

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Ok.

BOARD ENGINEER: $\quad-\quad$ and the applicant testified that subsequent to any potential approval they will pursue that.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Got that. So incorporating your memo, I get that.

And then we had -- I think that was it, Mr. Chairman. So obviously if I missed something, I will get it when $I$ review the notes and $I$ review the transcript, but as is always the case, if they said it tonight they have to mean it and it counts and it will be imposed as a condition.

And, Ken, $I$ know $I$ sort of just ran out those -- those conditions, were those generally acceptable to you?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Three that I would like to comment on. The first is the general COAH language and the general affordable language, I don't think that should be in there because the affordability elements have already been proscribed by the settlement and the ordinance and I think the
language should be specific and not - ATTORNEY KENNEDY: So we'll clarify that to say, "per the settlement agreement"? ATTORNEY PAPE: Yeah, and not the general.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Got it.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Second, is with regard to the police, we are not going to comply with the police report. We raise no objection to the implementation of that.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Got it.
ATTORNEY PAPE: And the third is, we've entered into a settlement Agreement that has great detail to it and $I$ would question the need for a further Developer's Agreement with a contract already in place between the borough, the planning board and the applicant. And I would ask --

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: What I would do, respectfully, $I$ will defer that to the borough, just because $I$ don't know if they're going to have construction details, construction time frames or things like that. So I'll -- I'll leave that to the borough and the borough attorney where you can work out with your settlement language --

ATTORNEY PAPE: Sure. Kevin, in your
resolution that specifically defers to the borough governing body attorney would be sufficient.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Ok.
ATTORNEY PAPE: And those are the only
comments. But $I$ will tell you, you go -- you talk faster than $I$ can listen, but $I$ think that's all that I got.

CHAIRMAN HALL: He's good at that.

He's good at that.
Kevin, $I$ have one comment, we have Mr. Ward's on with us tonight -- well, he's here but he's not speaking. But our standard if the plans change that they need to come in front of us.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Yes.

So, Ken, we have, which we sort of learned through hard experience, that sometimes applicants get approval to do $x$ and then when they start the construction they sort of change to Y. And as you know, we're approving $X$ so you have to build X. And if circumstances change please don't unilaterally change the plans on your own, come back to us.

ATTORNEY PAPE: That's a subsection of the lawyer's full employment act --

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Yes.

MEMBER BRISBEN: Kevin, I have a question for Peter. May I?

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Sure.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Peter, it was
mentioned about bonding. Are there going to be performance bonds needed for this?

BOARD ENGINEER: Most likely we would pursue that for this application, yes.

MEMBER BRISBEN: Ok. I just needed to
know that. Thank you.
BOARD ENGINEER: And, Kevin, I have one other question. You raised the issue -- I wasn't really thinking about it when you said it, but Title 39 allows, in general, borough police department to access the property if there's any issue created by vehicular parking or the like; is that correct?

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Yes.
BOARD ENGINEER: Maybe we want to
defer to the police department for that rather than --

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Sure.
BOARD ENGINEER: You know, I'm thinking of the people living here, if a vehicle were parked there of none of the residential owners or
occupants, that assists the borough in getting that vehicle removed from the property.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: And tying this into what Ken was earlier referencing, with the Developer's Agreement, if there is one, we would defer that to the police department and borough Council and that could be something in the Developer's Agreement, if it had to be.

BOARD ENGINEER: Right.
ATTORNEY PAPE: No objection.
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Ok. I'm sorry?
CHAIRMAN HALL: I would like to say --
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: I was just saying
that that, $I$ think, is the gist of all of the proposed conditions. And if that's acceptable I would certainly defer to the board members as to if they want to move this application for approval.

CHAIRMAN HALL: One last comment, I would like to say that $I$ really appreciate Peter and Jen's and Chris Willms' contributions tonight. It helps not only to make the board members a little bit more aware of what we're actually doing here, but as importantly, the people, the public, to understand that it's been through years of whatever you want to call it, but $I$ feel that we're -- we're
all in a win-win situation at this point.
MAYOR FETZER: Mr. Chairman, I have a question about the reso for Mr. Kennedy, about the Developer's Agreement.

If $I$ understood you right, you're punting that to the borough to make a decision but it seems -- which is fine, I mean, but $I$ don't see why one would -- there's a settlement agreement we would be preceded from having a Developer's Agreement.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Yeah, I mean -- and Mayor, that's an excellent point. And to that point we may not even need one at all. Sometimes it addresses other things like, you know, are they going to pay a police officer to, you know, direct traffic while the road is closed and they're doing construction, so things like that.

But I will defer that to the borough and if the borough wants it or needs it, they have it. And if we don't need it, we don't do it.

MAYOR FETZER: That's fine. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HALL: Ok. At this point $I$ would like to -- is there anyone who would like to offer the --

COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: I will offer.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Could we have a second?
MEMBER KOREYVA: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Karen, roll-call.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Stan, was that you
who seconded it?
MEMBER KOREYVA: Yes, Karen.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Ok. Thank you.
Kevin?
ATTORNEY KENNEDY: Yes?
MEMBER BRISBEN: Carla Abrahamson did
not get on until a quarter to 8:00, so I do not think she's eligible to vote. But $I$ will ask you that question.

ATTORNEY KENNEDY: I would, respectfully, agree to that. With all due respect to my good friend, Carla, she could make comments but she should probably not vote since she wasn't technically here.

MEMBER BRISBEN: Okay. Thank you.
ATtORNEY KENNEDY: Sorry, Carla.
MEMBER ABRAHAMSON: No problem.
MEMBER BRISBEN: All right.
Councilwoman Diane Anthony?
COUNCILWOMAN ANTHONY: Yes.

MEMBER BRISBEN: Karen Brisben, yes.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Jake Casey.
MEMBER CASEY: Yes.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Mayor Don Fetzer?
MAYOR FETZER: Yes.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Stan Koreyva.
MEMBER KOREYVA: Yes.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Eileen Laszlo?
MEMBER LASZLO: Yes.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Tom Britt.
MEMBER BRITT: Yes.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Robert Walker?
MEMBER WALKER: Yes.
MEMBER BRISBEN: Norman Hall?
CHAIRMAN HALL: Yes.
We look forward to seeing a nice
building.
MEMBER LASZLO: Just if $I$ could make quick comments, I would like to compliment the Chair for the excellent and efficient meeting; the attorneys who made this very understandable for both the planning board and all the attendees.

And two things, naturally going forward
it is frequently asked of the planning board members, you know, how did we get here and it's a
very complex thing to explain but hopefully tonight helped us all to go out into the community and explain how we got from A to Z.

And, Mr. Sitar, I was pleased to hear that you are going to stage your construction. I was just wondering if you could shed some light on when you're planning to do your demolition on the north side and if you had any guesstimate as to how long the process was going to take?

WILLIAM SITAR: I really don't at the
moment because $I$ have to get through resolution compliance and get plans drawn now because this has been a very long haul and who knew how the board would react and so forth.

So I would roughly anticipate that by
fall $I$ would hopefully be able to start the north building. And $I^{\prime} m$ going to assume that would take nine months to a year. So a total guess, but perhaps by the summer of '23 I might be starting the second building, or perhaps the Fall of 2023 .

MEMBER LASZLO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Mr. Sitar, you were
about to make another comment as well?
WILLIAM SITAR: Yes. I would
certainly like to thank the board for their
consideration. I have lived in Sea Girt since 1973 and I always say $I$ only sleep here but -- because I'm gone most of the day -- but I love the town. And I will make sure that what $I$ do will be the right thing and $I$ think everyone will be proud of it.

I think also that it's the different type of housing and $I$ believe Sea Girt needs something different because there are a lot of people in town who would like to sell their home, and they have a home in Florida and they'd like to live here for half of the year. And $I$ believe $I$ would have the type of high-quality apartment that those people would like to live in.

And there's also -- because of the many calls I receive -- from widows as well as members of the fire department and various churches in town that have said they don't want to leave sea Girt, they would like to stay here, sell their home. And so $I$ will have an apartment -- a complex or apartment project that they would be very proud to live in. And again, $I$ will assure you it's going to be a very high quality product.

So thank you again.
CHAIRMAN HALL: Okay. Thank you. And

1

```
    well, there's one more point of business that I
```

    would like to do tonight. It will only take a
    couple of minutes. Since we now have a -- I'm
    sorry?
                        ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair and board
    members, thank you all and goodnight.
                        CHAIRMAN HALL: Ok. Thank you.
                        (Application concluded at 9:37 p.m.)
    $$
C \quad E \quad R \quad T \quad I \quad F \quad I \quad C \quad A \quad T \quad E
$$

I, ANGELA C. BUONANTUONO, a Notary Public and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that prior to the commencement, the witness was duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the deposition as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that $I$ am neither a relative, nor employee, nor attorney, nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that $I$ am neither a relative, nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that $I$ am not financially interested in the action.

A. Suonantuono

Angela C. Buonantuono, CCR, RPR, CLR
NJ State Board of Court Reporting License No. 30 XIO0233100

Dated: May 13, 2022

addition [2] - 78:21, 82:24
additional [5] - 25:1, 46:23, 84:18, 88:13, 105:12
ADDRESS $_{[1]}-3: 16$ address [26] - 28:22, 29:6, 29:9, 29:16, 29:19, 40:23, 46:6, 46:8, 47:22, 61:18, 62:15, 63:24, 64:24, 65:1, 70:23, 70:24, 71:15, 71:20, 71:22, 86:17, 88:15, 95:9, 97:9, 102:25, 106:2, 110:19
addressed [9] - 10:5, 18:23, 42:6, 68:21, 69:4, 90:7, 104:17,
108:23, 118:19
addresses [2] - 71:23, 124:14
addressing [2] -
61:17, 106:3
adds [1] - 55:13
adequacy [5] - 35:9,
44:17, 49:3, 49:4
adequate ${ }_{[1]}-49: 18$
adequately $[3]-50: 7$,
73:9, 89:19
adjacent [4]-50:22,
69:23, 70:19, 85:22
adjoining [1] - 85:3
adjudicated ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:4
adopt [2]-14:25, 15:1
adopted ${ }_{[1]}-16: 10$
advance [2]-6:10, 36:6
aerial $[3]-38: 6,38: 8$, 39:20
Aerial $[6]-4: 23,5: 5$, 36:23, 37:4, 37:17, 37:22
Affairs [1] - 50:2
affect [2] - 19:2, 81:21
affecting $[2]-7: 15$,
19:3
affirm [1] - 10:6
affordability ${ }_{[1]}$ 119:24
affordable [50] - 7:23, 8:3, 8:16, 8:22, 8:25, 9:5, 9:10, 9:16, 9:20, 9:24, 10:7, 10:9, 10:15, 10:21, 11:1, 11:2, 11:7, 11:8, 11:12, 12:4, 13:1, 13:10, 13:21, 14:2, 14:23, 15:20, 18:13, 18:18, 19:1, 40:15,

58:7, 58:9, 58:11, 58:12, 58:13, 58:14, 59:4, 59:6, 61:24, 77:22, 80:1, 80:25, 83:15, 88:21, 97:14,
112:15, 113:2, 113:11, 115:8, 119:22
Affordable [4] - 9:2, 10:12, 15:6, 39:12 agencies [2] - 46:3, 46:23
agency [3] - 10:11, 45:25, 47:10
ago [15] - 6:7, 8:19, 9:6, 9:22, 17:3, 17:6, 17:16, 34:15, 35:6, 48:9, 73:23, 87:2, 87:4, 100:2, 107:8
agree [3] - 47:24,
108:11, 125:16
agreed [3] - 14:10, 21:16, 106:21
agreement [7]-15:16, 16:8, 16:25, 112:18, 115:6, 120:3, 124:8
Agreement [8] -
111:23, 111:24, 120:13, 120:15, 123:5, 123:8, 124:4, 124:10
AH1 [3] - 40:9, 40:10, 43:25
ahead [2]-54:2, 81:10
AIA [2] - 3:7, 52:12
airy [1] - 55:20
aisle [1] - 49:24
ALAN [4] - 93:15,
94:16, 94:20, 94:23
Alan [3] - 89:9, 93:16,
94:22
albeit [1] - 105:10
Algonquin [1] - 1:24
all-inclusive [1] 58:15
alleged [3] - 13:17, 13:18, 13:22
alleges [1] - 13:2
Allen [1] - 29:20
allocated [1] - 93:1
allow [5] - 16:14, 89:5,
95:5, 98:8, 101:21
allowable [1] - 16:11
allowed [6] - 13:4,
13:23, 35:20, 75:3,
82:1, 87:9
allowing [2] - 15:1, 95:19
allows [5] - 80:21,
81:24, 85:1, 85:13,

122:14
ALSO [1] - 1:17
Amanda [1] - 64:13 amend [1] - 14:25 amended [2] - 16:10, 16:13
amount [4] - 11:16, 76:22, 102:15, 104:15
Analysis [2] - 4:11, 23:13
analysis [2] - 72:14, 106:7
analyze [1] - 73:6
analyzed [1] - 83:13
ANGELA [2] - 1:22, 130:3
angela [1] - 130:23
angelabuonocsr@ gmail.com [1]-1:25
announce [2] - 26:23, 27:4
annual [1] - 74:6
answer [19] - 14:6,
24:25, 62:11, 65:21, 76:9, 76:11, 78:11, 84:11, 84:15, 97:2, 97:5, 98:19, 99:19, 100:2, 103:24, 104:1, 104:2, 113:18 answers [1] - 22:13
ANTHONY [15] - 1:12,
81:11, 81:19, 82:6, 82:12, 83:2, 84:12, 85:10, 86:1, 87:20, 88:6, 89:1, 108:22, 125:1, 125:25
Anthony [3] - 86:17, 102:25, 125:24
anticipate [9]-45:2, $46: 9,46: 15,47: 2$, 75:5, 83:17, 94:13, 100:8, 127:15
anticipated [1] - 71:13
anyway [1] - 107:18
apartment [5] - 40:3,
100:14, 128:13, 128:20, 128:21
apartments [4] - 40:8, 40:13, 40:15, 92:23
apologize [1] - 19:14
appear [1] - 9:15
applaud [2]-60:4,
110:1
applicable [3] - 97:10, 97:15, 115:1
applicant [24]-17:17,
20:8, 22:5, 25:9,
27:10, 27:14, 59:23,
65:23, 70:2, 73:15,

79:21, 82:23, 84:7,
89:4, 89:19, 90:5,
90:24, 104:5, 105:5,
106:7, 115:16,
116:21, 119:6,
120:17
Applicant [2] - 2:7, 2:16
applicant's [3] -
16:21, 65:24, 111:19
applicants [2]-63:3, 121:17
Application [2] - 4:3, 129:9
application [46] - 6:3,
7:8, 7:9, 7:11, 7:12,
7:15, 7:20, 7:21, 8:2,
8:12, 16:17, 16:22,
17:3, 17:12, 17:17,
18:2, 18:11, 18:23,
19:2, 19:3, 19:18,
20:18, 20:25, 22:24,
46:4, 46:11, 46:14,
46:25, 66:2, 91:19,
92:8, 100:1, 101:10,
101:22, 103:3,
105:6, 105:17,
106:8, 106:9,
106:16, 108:11,
109:2, 109:9,
111:16, 122:8,
123:17
applications [2] -
47:5, 89:13
apply [1] - 91:12
appreciate [18] - 21:3,
22:6, 35:20, 72:20,
74:19, 75:19, 77:20, 81:8, 93:8, 96:13,
108:12, 108:17,
108:18, 108:24,
109:21, 109:25, 110:3, 123:19
appreciated [1] - 34:2
appropriate [2] -
83:14, 114:20
approval [16] - 6:4,
7:9, 14:21, 15:18,
16:19, 17:18, 19:5,
39:25, 69:14, 74:5,
106:14, 107:20,
116:18, 119:7,
121:17, 123:17
approvals [4] - 45:25,
47:10, 112:11,
112:13
approve [4] - 15:23,
107:17, 109:2, 111:9
approved [5] - 11:14,
11:21, 111:16,

111:25, 113:7 approving [2] -
106:19, 121:19
April [12] - 4:5, 4:8, 4:17, 23:3, 23:7, 23:24, 34:17, 36:25, 37:9, 37:19, 47:16, 91:17
APRIL [1] - 1:8
Architect [1] - 2:17
architect [7]-28:15, 29:23, 32:11, 35:15, 49:9, 51:7, 116:14
Architects [4] - 3:8,
4:7, 23:6, 29:19
architectural [3] -
23:5, 61:15, 109:1
Architectural [1] - 4:6
architecture [1] -
109:6
area [27]-42:12,
42:13, 49:15, 57:16,
60:15, 68:7, 69:17,
69:22, 71:4, 76:6,
76:19, 77:1, 82:17,
83:1, 83:6, 86:15,
86:18, $87: 18,88: 2$,
88:11, 88:12, 88:21,
91:6, 93:3, 93:25,
100:6, 116:7
Area [1] - 76:17
areas [9]-58:22,
66:14, 73:2, 78:19,
88:25, 91:14, 93:4,
100:4
arranged [1] - 14:4
arriving [1] - 1:12
aside [4]-13:10, 14:1,
14:22, 17:1
aspect [1] - 15:25
aspects [1] - 18:17
assessment [1] -
23:17
Assessment [1] - 4:13
assigned [2] - 56:2,
56:3
assist [2]-33:19, 43:18
assistance [2]-28:18
assists [1] - 123:1
associated [1] - 84:9
assume [2] - 111:15,
127:17
assuming [1] - 36:7
assure [1] - 128:22
AT [1] - 1:8
attached [3]-20:17,
56:1, 56:2
attempt [1] - 18:24
attendance [1] - 51:19

boundaries [1] - 78:4
boundary [1]-23:9
Boundary [1]-4:9
Box [5] - 67:3, 67:5, 67:9, 115:25, 116:12
Boxes [1] - 66:9
brackets [1] - 53:24
branches [1] - 61:7
Brennan [26] - 3:7,
3:8, 4:7, 23:6, 28:15,
29:16, 29:18, 30:9,
32:7, 33:2, 35:13,
51:7, 51:13, 52:18,
54:21, 55:2, 56:25,
59:13, 61:10, 61:12,
67:2, 67:13, 77:7,
91:22, 92:11
BRENNAN ${ }_{[10]}$ -
29:17, 29:22, 30:4, 32:9, 32:23, 67:16, 68:6, 68:9, 77:9, 92:14
Brennan's [3] - 51:12, 59:5, 109:6
brick [2]-53:5, 107:10
brief [2]-99:25, 101:6
briefly [3]-88:17,
89:11, 89:25
bring [1] - 20:18
bringing [4]-6:23,
55:22, 92:3, 92:5
BRISBEN [30] - 1:20,
22:14, 22:15, 24:13,
51:20, 52:2, 64:4,
64:12, 64:17, 69:2, 69:8, 69:11, 107:4,
107:7, 122:1, 122:4,
122:9, 125:5, 125:8,
125:11, 125:20,
125:23, 126:1,
126:2, 126:4, 126:6,
126:8, 126:10,
126:12, 126:14
Brisben [3]-22:14,
107:2, 126:1
BRITT $[3]-1: 13$,
108:6, 126:11
Britt [2]-108:5,
126:10
broad [1] - 18:25
brought [2]-70:13, 105:6
buffer [1]-83:24
buffering [1]-79:20
build [4]-13:23,
14:19, 53:13, 121:20
builder [1]-15:19
builder's [3]-12:20,
12:21, 13:15
building [52] - 5:6, 19:12, 40:3, 40:5, 45:8, 52:11, 52:23, 53:6, 53:12, 53:15, 54:8, 54:13, 54:14, 54:22, 54:23, 55:12, 55:16, 56:10, 56:11, 56:22, 57:5, 57:11,
57:23, 58:18, 59:16,
61:25, 67:15, 67:16, 67:20, 69:18, 75:16, 77:6, 77:10, 77:12,
77:15, 85:18, 92:13,
92:19, 92:20, 92:25,
94:13, 107:23,
111:1, 116:12,
118:2, 118:6, 118:8, 126:17, 127:17, 127:20
Building [6] - 5:8, 5:9, 5:10, 54:6, 56:16, 57:7
buildings [2] - 67:10, 107:11
built [2] - 75:16, 75:17
bulk [4]-13:7, 13:24,
17:20, 17:23
BUONANTUONO [2] 1:22, 130:3
Buonantuono [1] 130:23
burden [2] - 33:22, 63:12
Burlington [1] - 8:21
bush [1]-61:1
business [7]-28:22,
29:6, 29:9, 29:16, 64:24, 65:1, 129:1
businesses [1] 104:13
button [1] - 77:2
butts [1] - 60:16
BY ${ }_{[12]}-1: 21,2: 2$,
36:16, 37:25, 41:25,
43:16, 47:18, 48:18, 52:17, 54:20, 56:24, 59:12

| C |
| :---: |
| $C-0-M-0.28 .25$ |

C-O-M-O [1] - 28:25 calculations [1] 76:21
camp [2] - 102:14,
102:16
candle [1] - 42:14
cannot [2]-6:6, 6:12
canopies [1] - 61:5
capacity [3]-29:2, 29:12, 119:1
car [1] - $95: 19$
card [1] $-112:$
care [1] - 42:3
careers [1] - 8:8
carefully [1] - 20:9
Carla [5] - 51:19,
109:10, 125:11,
125:17, 125:21
CARLA [1] - 1:12
cars [3] - 95:20,
102:15, 103:22
case [8] - 8:20, 8:24,
9:22, 14:3, 16:1, 27:1, 112:20, 119:14
cases [5] - 10:4, 10:5,
10:16, 10:17
Casey [1] - 126:2
CASEY [15] - 1:13,
75:22, 75:25, 77:4, 77:19, 78:23, 79:2, 79:11, 79:24, 80:24, 81:2, 81:7, 85:12, 108:10, 126:3
caution [1] - 18:14
CCR ${ }_{[2]}-1: 22,130: 23$
Cedar [2] - 53:21, 53:22
center [1] - 38:13
certain [3]-13:8,
58:19, 76:13
certainly [7]-81:21,
94:6, 94:12, 109:1,
110:1, 123:16, 127:25
certification [9] -
11:23, 11:25, 12:2, 12:9, 12:16, 12:18, 13:1, 13:3, 13:21
certifications [5] -
30:19, 30:21, 31:14, 32:1, 32:8
Certified [1] - 130:4
certified [4]-1:23,
11:14, 13:20, 31:18
certify [1] - 130:6
CERTIFY [2] - 130:9,
130:13
cetera [3]-9:18, 13:8, 19:12
chain [1]-78:17
chain-link [1] - 78:17
Chair [22]-7:2, 12:13,
16:16, 21:18, 28:6,
33:16, 35:13, 35:23,
41:20, 47:13, 47:25,
51:1, 59:21, 61:20,
64:8, 84:6, 89:10, 91:20, 105:1, 105:4, 126:19, 129:5
CHAIRMAN [104] - 6:2,

6:17, 6:22, 19:22,
21:5, 21:21, 21:25, 22:12, 24:23, 25:5, 25:14, 25:18, 25:23, 26:1, 27:23, 30:10, 30:12, 30:14, 31:11, 32:5, 33:2, 34:1, 35:25, 36:7, 48:3,
51:4, 51:17, 51:22,
51:25, 52:4, 55:2,
60:3, 61:10, 62:3,
63:11, 63:19, 68:24,
70:11, 70:15, 72:17,
$74: 16,75: 20,75: 24$,
76:9, 81:9, 83:19,
83:23, 84:11, 84:20,
85:8, 85:24, 87:23,
88:15, 89:2, 89:15,
91:23, 93:11, 94:21,
94:24, 95:10, 96:2,
96:8, 96:11, 96:15,
97:2, 99:7, 99:14,
100:16, 100:23,
101:8, 101:24,
102:23, 103:11,
103:24, 104:16,
104:22, 105:3,
105:19, 106:17,
106:22, 107:1,
107:6, 107:24,
108:5, 108:9,
108:15, 108:21,
109:3, 109:10,
109:15, 110:8,
111:7, 115:18,
118:19, 121:8,
123:12, 123:18,
124:23, 125:2,
125:4, 126:15,
127:22, 128:25,
129:7
Chairman [30]-1:11,
7:7, 10:13, 14:11, 18:9, 18:24, 19:13,
19:19, 20:6, 22:22,
24:18, 25:3, 26:21,
27:25, 31:9, 32:4,
32:25, 61:3, 70:14,
74:4, 74:20, 75:23,
86:2, 99:11, 99:24,
106:5, 109:19,
113:24, 119:12,
124:2
chairman [1] - 93:15
challenges [2] -
10:14, 10:16
chance [2] - 50:18,
96:11
change [8]-18:1,
96:24, 100:24,

112:12, 121:13, 121:18, 121:20, 121:21
changed [2] - 17:13, 17:15
changes [2] - 47:2,
108:18
changing [1] - 18:20
chapter [1] - 85:1
character [1] - 53:18
charging [2] - 44:6, 99:17
check [1] - 27:8
Chief [1] - 69:13
chief [2] - 4:19, 24:6
chime [1] - 18:5
choice [1] - 101:1
CHRIS [19]-1:19,
64:19, 64:22, 64:25, 65:9, 65:16, 66:1,
68:3, 68:8, 68:18,
68:22, 69:7, 69:9,
69:12, 70:5, 70:9,
84:24, 85:16, 86:13
Chris [11] - 24:16,
64:17, 64:20, 64:25, 69:3, 69:6, 85:11,
85:12, 86:8, 123:20
churches [1] - 128:17
circling [1] - 44:25
circulation [3]-43:20,
44:15, 49:4
circumstances [2] -
17:14, 121:20
city [1] - 116:19
civil [1] - 30:25
clapboard [2] - 53:21, 107:11
clarification [3]-88:7,
90:2, 97:5
clarifications [1] -
89:21
clarify [4] - 81:12,
85:9, 115:5, 120:2
clarity [1] - 33:8
Class [2] - 66:16,
116:4
clearly [2]-20:10, 91:3
closed [1] - 124:16
closer [1] - 90:23
closet [1] - 57:21
CLR [1] - 130:23
co [1] - 33:10
co-counsel [1] - 33:10
COAH [5] - 9:1, 10:12,
12:17, 13:20, 119:21
code [4] - 65:18,
67:20, 67:25, 68:3
Code [1] - 1:19

| ```codes [2] - 66:13, 116:3 cohesive [1]-54:11 collect \({ }_{[1]}\) - 87:9 collection [5] - 44:18, 45:3, 45:5, 100:9, 114:15 color [2] - 45:1, 45:16 colored [1] - 25:9 colorized [1] - 43:2 colors [2] - 38:9, 54:12 com [1] - 22:15 combination [3] - 43:5, 53:21, 97:12 comfortable [2] - 33:13, 45:4 coming [7]-52:3, 55:7, 55:8, 55:9, 60:20, 94:14, 102:15 commencement [2] - 5:16, 130:6 COMMENCING \({ }_{[1]}\) - 1:8 commend [1] - 109:19 COMMENT \({ }_{[1]}-3: 14\) comment [22] - 34:10, 35:8, 35:9, 35:11, 60:10, 69:12, 93:13, 96:6, 96:12, 101:20, 101:22, 103:1, 103:6, 103:8, 107:5, 110:8, 110:22, 114:15, 119:21, 121:10, 123:18, 127:23 commented [1] - 113:25 commenting [1] - 20:14 comments [28]-7:17, 7:19, 21:2, 21:10, 22:8, 22:20, 31:9, 34:13, 42:17, 42:20, 46:6, 47:1, 65:25, 68:21, 72:21, 96:4, 101:2, 101:9, 101:13, 101:14, 104:25, 105:20, 106:23, 108:8, 109:17, 121:5, 125:17, 126:19 commercial [14] - 53:6, 53:13, 56:22, 57:10, 57:12, 67:19, 85:3, 85:6, 85:14, 92:25, 93:4, 100:19, 108:19 commitments [2] - 105:11, 111:18``` | ```commonly [1] - 107:2 communication [1] - 24:1 communications [1] - 46:17 Community [1] - 50:2 community [4]-62:1, 93:19, 100:14, 127:2 Como [2]-28:25, 29:10 compactor [2]-93:2, 93:3 companies [6] - 6:5, 26:24, 27:6, 27:15, 27:21, 63:2 company [1] - 114:11 compared [1] - 109:7 complaint [5] - 12:22, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 16:7 complaints [2]-86:9, 86:12 completed [2] - 94:13, 110:5 completely [2]-21:4, 66:23 complex [5] - 8:4, 96:22, 104:14, 127:1, 128:20 compliance [21] - 44:7, 45:9, 45:11, 45:23, 50:14, 73:18, 89:13, 98:12, 111:17, 111:20, 112:15, 112:17, 112:21, 112:24, 114:7, 114:23, 115:1, 116:16, 117:11, 119:4, 127:12 compliant [16] - 40:10, 41:11, 41:16, 42:8, 43:23, 44:3, 44:11, 45:13, 45:19, 59:7, 67:17, 67:20, 104:11, 105:6, 105:7, 106:16 complicated [3] - 11:18, 18:19 complied [3]-74:1, 88:10, 89:4 complies [2]-16:23, 90:13 compliment [1] - 126:19 comply [5] - 42:19, 73:25, 115:9, 116:17, 120:8 component [2]- 77:22, 80:25``` | ```compromise [1] - 108:18 concept [3] - 9:4, 9:11, 14:15 conceptual \({ }_{[1]}-10: 24\) concern [2]-88:8, 95:14 concerned [3] - 60:17, 81:20 concerns [5] - 28:2, 32:25, 86:15, 106:2, 108:23 conclude [1] - 45:22 concluded [2] - 105:2, 129:9 concludes [3] - 39:18, 47:14, 59:22 conclusion [5] - 18:9, 19:13, 33:24, 50:17, 101:7 conclusions [1] - 41:23 condensing \({ }_{[1]}\) - 92:22 condition [6] - 74:5, 93:21, 114:2, 115:19, 116:9, 119:16 conditions [11] - 35:2, 38:4, 39:19, 41:3, 106:14, 106:19, 111:8, 111:9, 111:17, 119:18, 123:15 confer [1]-82:12 Conference \({ }_{[1]}-2: 1\) conferences [1] - 34:11 configured [1] - 87:7 confirm [15]-30:20, 31:13, 40:13, 42:4, 42:19, 44:15, 45:11, 45:23, 46:16, 47:15, 47:19, 72:18, 77:5, 78:6, 92:3 conflict [2]-27:3, 27:8 conflicts [3] - 28:2, 28:3 conform [1] - 16:24 conformance [1] - 88:23 conforming [1] - 106:9 conforms [4]-16:23, 91:1, 91:7, 106:8 conjunction [6]-8:12, 15:7, 19:5, 69:13, 112:19, 116:10 connect [1]-78:19``` | ```Connecticut [1] - 32:14 connection [2]-66:4, 115:23 connections [1] - 66:6 consent [3]-7:12, 7:13, 22:22 consequences [2] - 11:24, 12:14 conservation [1] - 75:4 Conservation [3] - 46:25, 75:3, 117:17 consider [2] - 38:18, 105:16 considerable [2] - 34:7, 40:21 considerate [1] - 60:24 consideration [5] - 83:3, 83:5, 84:13, 102:20, 128:1 consistent [1] - 20:25 consisting [3]-23:1, 23:5, 23:10 consists [1] - 57:19 consolidation [1] - 116:24 constant [2] - 10:23 construct [2]-13:5, 13:6 constructed [1] - 75:12 construction [14] - 73:13, 73:14, 75:10, 80:19, 82:2, 82:20, 85:2, 107:12, 110:4, 120:21, 121:18, 124:17, 127:5 Consultants [1]-3:5 consultation [2] - 19:7, 24:16 contact [1]-22:10 contemplated \([1]\) - 67:4 cONTINUED \({ }_{[1]}-5: 1\) continuing [1] - 72:13 contract [1]-120:15 contributing [2] - 76:25 contributions [1] - 123:20 control [5] - 27:19, 51:10, 70:25, 100:12, 100:14 controlled [1] - 44:13 controlling [1] - 48:20 controls [2]-27:21, 51:9 converted [1] - 99:16``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```33:8, \(33: 9\) cream [3]-39:5, 94:9, 94:11 create [2] - 88:5, 117:3 created [4]-86:20, 88:4, 101:14, 122:16 creating [3]-53:10, 53:25, 57:14 creation [2]-10:11, 20:21 creative [1] - 59:19 credentials [3] - 31:6, 34:20, 48:8 credit [2]-98:2, 98:7 Crescent [2]-3:18, 96:20 criteria [1] - 49:10 cross [1] - 87:8 crown [2] - 86:20 crowned [2]-86:24, 87:6 curb [4]-87:1, 87:6, 87:9 curb-to-curb [1] - 87:6 current [6] - 30:21, 30:24, 32:1, 44:7, 53:6, 85:5 curve [1] - 10:19 cut [2] - 65:21, 98:21 \begin{tabular}{c}  D \\ \hline dark \([1]-45: 16\) \\ date \([4]-30: 21,36: 19\), \\ \(56: 19,130: 12\) \\ Dated \([1]-130: 25\) \\ dated \([38]-4: 5,4: 7\), \\ \(4: 9,4: 12,4: 14,4: 15\), \\ \(4: 17,4: 20,4: 23\), \\ \(4: 25,5: 5,5: 6,5: 8\), \\ \(5: 9,5: 10,23: 2,23: 7\), \\ 23:11, 23:14, 23:18, \\ 23:21, 23:24, 24:8, \\ \(36: 24,37: 5,37: 9\), \\ \(37: 14,37: 19,37: 23\), \\ \(52: 11,52: 22,54: 6\), \\ \(54: 16,54: 23,56: 17\), \\ \(57: 8,91: 17,112: 22\) \\ dawn \([1]-42: 16\) \\ DCA \([2]-90: 14,90: 18\) \\ dealt \([1]-8: 21\) \\ decades \([5]-8: 2\), \\ \(8: 18,8: 19,9: 6,9: 22\) \\ December \([4]-4: 7\), \\ \(4: 14,23: 7,23: 18\) \\ decided \([1]-9: 8\) \\ decides \([1]-85: 21\) \\ deciding \([1]-105: 22\) \\ deciduous \([1]-43: 13\) \\ decision \([6]-9: 7\), \\ \hline \end{tabular}``` | ```9:13, 47:6, 92:9, 124:6 defend [1] - 14:5 defer [6] - 80:4, 120:19, 122:20, 123:6, 123:16, 124:18 defers [1] - 121:1 definite [1] - 110:9 degree [1] - 32:10 Delaware [1]-31:17 delivered [1] - 33:23 delivering [1] - 50:24 demo [1]-75:10 demolished [2] - 66:21, 66:24 demolition [4]-68:14, 114:25, 116:11, 127:7 density [4] - 13:7, 13:24, 17:18, 17:22 Department [2] - 4:21, 50:2 department [29] - 24:9, 24:10, 64:6, 66:4, 66:5, 66:7, 66:13, 66:17, 66:20, 66:22, 68:4, 68:15, 69:4, 69:9, 69:15, 92:8, 102:24, 115:23, 116:3, 116:6, 116:9, 116:17, 116:19, 122:15, 122:20, 123:6, 128:17 deposition [1] - 130:10 describe [2] - 42:2, 78:1 description [2] - 38:3, 54:25 DESCRIPTION [2] - 4:2, 5:4 design [22]-35:10, 35:16, 41:1, 41:16, 41:19, 41:24, 42:4, 42:8, 46:10, 49:9, 49:25, 53:20, 58:15, 59:15, 59:16, 67:15, 71:13, 73:5, 104:10, 107:19, 108:17, 109:1 designate [1] - 70:4 designations [1] - 59:9 designed [8] - 8:10, 41:14, 49:17, 50:3, 71:21, 72:3, 72:7, 76:7 designs [2] - 49:5,``` | ```53:24 detail [5] - 43:20, 53:23, 55:4, 74:24, 120:14 detailed [3] - 8:4, 20:22, 20:23 detailing [1]-54:13 details [7]-9:15, 19:12, 53:25, 112:12, 115:15, 120:21 deter [1] - 60:20 determine \([1]\) - 11:8 develop [1] - 40:2 developable [1] - 12:6 developed [4] - 39:4, 50:19, 76:16, 76:19 developer [6] - 12:22, 13:2, 13:4, 13:23, 14:1, 14:19 Developer's [7] - 111:23, 111:24, 120:15, 123:5, 123:8, 124:4, 124:9 development [16] - 13:6, 14:16, 14:24, 15:3, 16:14, 35:3, 39:22, 40:1, 43:3, 45:2, 76:3, 76:6, 79:9, 89:20, 90:16, 90:20 developments [2] - 40:8, 117:4 Diane [5] - 81:9, 85:8, 87:23, 108:21, 125:24 DIANE \({ }_{[1]}-1: 12\) different [3] - 6:5, 128:7, 128:9 difficult \([1]-95: 16\) dimension [1] - 50:5 dining [2] - 55:21, 57:19 direct [5] - 47:14, 48:1, 59:22, 60:2, 124:15 directed [2]-92:8, 104:10 directing [1] - 72:8 direction [1] - 70:2 directly [3]-27:17, 48:11, 88:18 disagree [1] - 20:4 discharge \([1]\) - 87:10 disclose [1] - 27:4 disclosure [1] - 27:24 discuss [2] - 7:14, 38:15 discussed [1] - 6:9 discussing [1] - 86:11``` | ```discussion [4]-7:23, 8:9, 8:10, 15:8 discussions [2] - 14:9, 15:4 dismissal [1] - 112:20 dismissed [1] - 16:1 distances [1] - 49:18 distinction [2] - 97:12, 97:13 distortion [1] - 35:15 District [4] - 39:12, 46:25, 75:3, 117:17 districts [1] - 75:4 DO [2] - 130:9, 130:13 documents [1] - 58:23 Don [3]-102:25, 109:16, 126:4 DON [1] - 1:14 done [9]-19:25, 50:11, 73:15, 75:11, 86:5, 94:22, 101:24, 107:15, 110:14 door [2] - 100:18, 108:2 \(\operatorname{dot}[1]-22: 15\) double [1]-91:5 double-sided [1] - 91:5 Doug [4]-28:21, 30:8, 49:14, 51:9 doug [1] - 30:18 Douglas [5] - 3:4, 28:12, 28:23, 34:18, 34:19 DOUGLAS [24] - 28:23, 29:4, 30:5, 30:22, 31:4, 31:7, 71:2, 71:19, 74:11, 75:1, 76:10, 78:8, 78:25, 79:4, 79:14, 79:17, 80:13, 81:1, 81:18, 81:23, 82:9, 83:11, 83:21, 92:5 down [4]-65:16, 94:25, 106:14, 111:13 downtown [3] - 93:25, 104:13, 108:19 downward [2]-42:10, 71:10 downward-facing [1] - 71:10 drain [1] - 72:7 drainage [6] - 19:10, 41:8, 87:7, 87:10, 87:15, 87:17 drawn [1] - 127:12 Drive [1]-29:20 drive [1] - 86:21 driven [4]-11:3, 62:8,``` | 63:16, 110:21 <br> driveway $[6]-35: 10$, <br> $35: 11,39: 9,44: 10$, <br> 49:5, 88:13 <br> driveways $[4]-44: 10$, <br> $49: 14,49: 16,61: 9$ <br> driving $[1]-60: 18$ <br> due $[4]-14: 21,76: 4$, <br> $96: 12,125: 16$ <br> duly $[1]-130: 7$ <br> duplication $[1]-21: 12$ <br> during $[3]-25: 10$, <br> $48: 22,104: 6$ <br> dusk $[1]-42: 16$ <br> dwelling $[4]-39: 8$, <br> $40: 3,40: 5,43: 24$ <br> Dynamic $[21]-3: 5$, <br> $3: 6,4: 4,4: 9,4: 12$, <br> $4: 14,4: 23,4: 25,5: 5$, <br> $23: 1,23: 10,23: 14$, <br> $23: 17,28: 24,29: 8$, <br> $36: 24,37: 5,37: 8$, <br> $37: 14,37: 18,37: 23$ <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```elevator \([4]\) - 55:10, 55:14, 57:16, 57:25 eligible [1] - 125:13 eliminate [1] - 105:10 eliminated [1] - 18:7 eloquently \({ }_{[1]}\) - \(21: 4\) Email:jpape@ hpnjlaw.com [1] - 2:6 Email:kpape@ hpnjlaw.com [1] - 2:5 emanate [1] - 9:21 employee [2] - 130:14, 130:16 employment [1] - 121:24 emptied [2] - 100:4, 100:6 enclosed [1] - 79:8 enclosure [1] - 83:17 enclosures [2] - 44:21, 79:7 encourage \({ }_{[1]}\) - 98:1 end [1] - \(35: 22\) endorse \([1]\) - 14:15 endorsed [1] - 16:5 ends [1]-78:16 enforced \({ }_{[1]}\) - 10:10 enforcement \({ }_{[1]}\) - 65:18 Enforcement [1] - 1:19 ENGINEER [28] - 26:16, 72:20, 80:6, 81:6, 82:15, 86:16, 87:22, 89:10, 89:17, 93:7, 106:11, 112:5, 114:18, 116:25, 117:2, 117:24, 118:5, 118:9, 118:12, 118:15, 118:21, 119:3, 119:6, 122:7, 122:11, 122:19, 122:23, 123:9 Engineer \([1]-1: 18\) engineer \([17]\) - 19:8, 24:21, 26:8, 26:20, 28:12, 28:14, 29:3, 29:13, 30:23, 31:16, 31:18, 34:21, 82:13, 86:7, 112:1, 113:8 engineer's [1] - 41:19 Engineering [15] - 3:5, 4:5, 4:12, 4:23, 4:25, 5:5, 23:2, 23:14, 28:24, 36:24, 37:5, 37:9, 37:14, 37:18, 37:23``` | ```engineering \({ }_{[1]}\) - 30:25 engineers [2]-8:7, 12:12 Engineers [1] - 31:19 English [1] - 16:12 enhancement \([1]\) - 54:1 ensued [1]-14:9 ensure \([4]\) - 49:9, 49:20, 50:6, 50:19 enter [1]-22:22 entered [3]-34:17, 91:17, 120:13 entering [1] - 49:19 entitled [2] - 8:20, 37:17 entrance [2] - 53:14, 66:10 entry [2] - 49:4, 57:13 entryways [1] - 55:7 envision [1] - 73:11 envisioning \({ }_{[1]}\) - 74:22 equate [1] - 97:1 equation [1] - 97:20 equipment [1] - 89:24 escape \({ }_{[1]}-84: 1\) especially [1] - 109:5 ESQUIRE [2]-2:2, 2:3 Esquire [1] - 1:17 essentially [8] - 9:3, 10:2, 10:10, 13:5, 14:15, 38:7, 39:15, 43:1 estate [2]-93:22, 94:2 et \([3]-9: 18,13: 8\), 19:12 EV [7] - 44:6, 96:25, 97:19, 97:23, 97:25, 98:7, 98:23 evening [16] - 7:2, 20:7, 20:18, 20:24, 26:7, 26:10, 27:5, 28:21, 33:12, 33:16, 34:4, 34:23, 36:21, 41:21, 105:11, 105:17 evenings [1] - 102:14 events [1] - 73:5 ever-changing \({ }_{[1]}\) - 18:20 ever-evolving [1] \(-8: 5\) ever-growing \([1]-8: 8\) evergreen [1] - 43:12 evidence [1] - 19:21 evolutions [1] - 66:23 evolving [1] - 8:5 exact \([1]-91: 13\) exactly [2] - 82:19,``` | 98:5 examination $[3]-$ $35: 22,48: 6,59: 14$ examine $[2]-59: 25$, $60: 1$ example $[1]-100: 18$ exceed $[3]-75: 7$, $77: 15,117: 17$ excellent $[5]-40: 11$, $75: 1,76: 11,124: 12$, 126:20 except $[2]-56: 7$, 109:16 excuse $[4]-7: 16$, $93: 3,99: 10,118: 11$ executed $[1]-16: 25$ execution $[1]-111: 22$ executive $[5]-35: 2$, $39: 22,40: 11,40: 22$, $41: 13$ exempt $[2]-76: 3$, $76: 21$ exempted $[1]-76: 13$ exhibit $[10]-25: 7$, $37: 7,37: 17,38: 6$, $38: 18,45: 14,48: 21$, $54: 2,91: 17,115: 11$ Exhibit $[11]-4: 23$, $36: 23,37: 4,37: 13$, $37: 22,52: 10,53: 2$, $54: 5,56: 16,57: 7$ ExHIBIT $[2]-4: 2,5: 4$ exhibits $[9]-21: 22$, $25: 2,34: 22,36: 3$, $36: 22,38: 2,51: 12$, $53: 16,54: 21$ Exhibits $[1]-5: 16$ existing $[14]-35: 2$, $38: 4,39: 9,39: 19$, $41: 3,53: 12,56: 23$, $66: 22,73: 9,78: 13$, $78: 20,78: 23,86: 5$, $89: 22$ exists $[2]-53: 7,100: 9$ exit $[2]-95: 15,102: 18$ exiting $[1]-49: 19$ expect $[1]-100: 22$ expected $[2]-46: 7$, $103: 23$ expecting $[1]-70: 18$ expedited $[1]-33: 24$ expensive $[2]-11: 5$, $12: 10$ experience $[2]-$ $59: 20,121: 16$ expert $[3]-28: 15$, $35: 7,48: 7$ experts $[1]-35: 20$ experts' $[1]-108: 17$ explain $[3]-53: 4$, | ```127:1, 127:3 extent \([7]\) - 19:16, 26:13, 47:19, 65:6, 81:3, 102:8, 103:17 extra [1] - 63:12```F <br> facade $[1]-53: 11$ <br> faces $[1]-57: 11$ <br> facilities $[3]-45: 5$, <br> $74: 7,117: 14$ <br> facing $[2]-54: 8$, <br> $71: 10$ <br> fact $[7]-17: 11,60: 5$, <br> $76: 4,83: 25,86: 4$, <br> $98: 6,114: 1$ <br> factors $[2]-11: 15$, <br> $11: 18$ <br> failure $[1]-11: 24$ <br> Fair $[3]-20: 13,20: 14$, <br> $59: 11$ <br> fairly $[6]-7: 8,10: 18$, <br> $10: 23,13: 13,72: 13$ <br> Fall $[1]-127: 20$ <br> fall $[3]-76: 16,88: 22$, <br> $97: 19,99: 2,127: 16$ <br> falls $[1]-76: 14$ <br> familiar $[3]-42: 17$, <br> $47: 23,80: 8$ <br> familiarity $[1]-47: 15$ <br> fan $[1]-109: 6$ <br> far $[6]-47: 4,67: 3$, <br> $71: 17,84: 3,84: 23$, <br> $87: 18$ <br> faster $[1]-121: 6$ <br> favor $[3]-108: 20$, <br> 109:9, $110: 24$ <br> favorably $[1]-105: 17$ <br> FDC $[2]-67: 13$, <br> $116: 14$ <br> featured $[1]-35: 16$ <br> fees $[1]-12: 11$ <br> feet $[34]-6: 19,38: 25$, <br> $39: 1,40: 6,44: 5$, <br> $45: 17,45: 18,45: 20$, <br> $50: 5,55: 18,71: 5$, <br> $71: 9,71: 10,74: 25$, <br> $75: 2,75: 6,77: 11$, <br> $77: 13,77: 17,79: 14$, <br> $80: 3,80: 18,82: 1$, <br> $82: 19,90: 9,90: 12$, <br> $90: 24,90: 25,91: 6$, <br> $92: 20$ <br> fence $[27]-78: 13$, <br> $78: 16,78: 17,78: 19$, <br> $78: 20,78: 25,79: 8$, <br> $79: 15,79: 22,79: 25$, <br> $80: 3,81: 13,81: 17$, <br> $83: 1,83: 12,83: 22$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84:4, 85:2, 85:7, } \\ & \text { 85:22, 117:21, } \\ & \text { 118:1, 118:10, } \\ & \text { 118:13 } \\ & \text { fencing }[18]-78: 1, \\ & 78: 2,78: 6,78: 23, \\ & 79: 18,80: 9,80: 16, \\ & 80: 17,80: 19,81: 24, \\ & \text { 82:3, 83:6, 84:7, } \\ & \text { 84:18, 84:23, } \\ & \text { 105:12, 117:24, } \\ & \text { 118:20 } \\ & \text { FETZER }{ }_{[15]}-1: 14, \\ & 70: 14,70: 16,71: 14, \\ & 72: 16,74: 18,75: 9, \\ & 75: 18,99: 10,99: 15, \\ & 99: 22,109: 18, \\ & 124: 2,124: 21,126: 5 \\ & \text { Fetzer }[2]-70: 15, \\ & 126: 4 \\ & \text { Fetzer's }[1]-72: 21 \\ & \text { few }[6]-7: 13,35: 5, \\ & 48: 8,74: 19,86: 2, \\ & \text { 108:13 } \\ & \text { field }[3]-8: 6,8: 8,33: 3 \\ & \text { Fifth }[16]-27: 12, \\ & 38: 23,39: 9,39: 17, \\ & 41: 4,49: 19,53: 7, \\ & 53: 8,60: 18,61: 8, \\ & 69: 19,90: 23,90: 25, \\ & 95: 14,95: 15,102: 16 \\ & \text { FIFTH }[1]-1: 6 \\ & \text { filed }[5]-13: 15,14: 7, \\ & 34: 15,36: 5,46: 14 \\ & \text { files }[1]-12: 22 \\ & \text { Final }[1]-6: 3 \\ & \text { final }[7]-15: 12,15: 13, \\ & 15: 25,39: 24,50: 9, \\ & 51: 6,77: 17 \\ & \text { finally }[2]-20: 20, \\ & 73: 17 \\ & \text { financially }[1]-130: 17 \\ & \text { fine }[6]-28: 9,81: 5, \\ & 89: 16,107: 15, \\ & 124: 7,124: 21 \\ & \text { Fire }[4]-1: 19,4: 19, \\ & 4: 20,24: 7 \\ & \text { fire }[25]-24: 6,64: 6, \\ & 64: 15,65: 17,66: 4, \\ & 66: 5,66: 7,66: 13, \\ & 66: 16,66: 20,66: 21, \\ & 68: 4,68: 15,68: 16, \\ & 70: 3,112: 22, \\ & 115: 23,115: 24, \\ & 116: 2,116: 6,116: 9, \\ & 116: 10,128: 17 \\ & \text { firefighters }[1]-68: 16 \\ & \text { firm }[1]-29: 8 \\ & \text { first }[18]-9: 14,17: 3, \\ & 17: 12,17: 16,32: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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